

Krystal Loyd

		WKMC	Ron Hobbs	Marmon Mok	Brandstetter Carroll
Q1	Design w/ building committee recommends	8	7	9	10
Q2	Assembled Project Team	8	7	10	9
Q3	Experience for this project	8	7	9	10
Q4	Quality Proposal	8	7	9	10
Q5	Reliable and accurate construction costs	8	7	10	9
Q6	Reliable and accurate operation costs	8	7	8	10
Q7	Promotion of bond election materials	8	7	10	10
Q8	High quality of aquatics facility	8	7	10	9
Q9	Create a total master plan	8	7	9	10
Q10	How do you feel about this firm	8	7	9	10

Firms' Total score

80

70

93

97

Michelle Lopez

		WKMC	Ron Hobbs	Marmon Mok	Brandstetter Carroll
Q1	Design w/ building committee recommends	8	9	9	10
Q2	Assembled Project Team	8	8.5	9	10
Q3	Experience for this project	7	8	10	10
Q4	Quality Proposal	8	7.5	9	9

Q5	Reliable and accurate construction costs	0	0	9	9
Q6	Reliable and accurate operation costs	0	0	8.5	9
Q7	Promotion of bond election materials	7.5	7.5	9	8
Q8	High quality of aquatics facility	6	8	9	9.5
Q9	Create a total master plan	8	6	4	8
Q10	How do you feel about this firm	7.5	8	9.5	10

Firms' Total score

60

62.5

86

92.5

Rhonda Cox

		WKMC	Ron Hobbs	Marmon Mok	Brandstetter Carroll
Q1	Design w/ building committee recommends	8	7	9	9
Q2	Assembled Project Team	6	8	9	7
Q3	Experience for this project	8	8	9	9
Q4	Quality Proposal	8	9	10	8
Q5	Reliable and accurate construction costs	8	8	9	8
Q6	Reliable and accurate operation costs	8	8	9	8
Q7	Promotion of bond election materials	8	2	9	6
Q8	High quality of aquatics facility	6	9	9	7
Q9	Create a total master plan	8	8	10	8

Q10	How do you feel about this firm	8	6	9	8		

Firms' Total score

76	73	92	78
----	----	----	----

Bill Neukam

		WKMC	Ron Hobbs	Marmon Mok	Brandstetter Carroll
Q1	Design w/ building committee recommends	6	10	8.5	8.5
Q2	Assembled Project Team	6	8.5	8	8.5
Q3	Experience for this project	8	10	8	9
Q4	Quality Proposal	8.5	10	8	9
Q5	Reliable and accurate construction costs	6.5	8	8.5	8
Q6	Reliable and accurate operation costs	8	8.5	8	8.5
Q7	Promotion of bond election materials	6	8.5	8.5	8.5
Q8	High quality of aquatics facility	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5
Q9	Create a total master plan	6	8.5	8	8.5
Q10	How do you feel about this firm	7	10	7	9

Firms' Total score

70.5	90.5	81	86
------	------	----	----

Patrick Corona

		WKMC	Ron Hobbs	Marmon Mok	Brandstetter Carroll
Q1	Design w/ building committee recommends	8	10	8	8
Q2	Assembled Project Team	8	8	8	6
Q3	Experience for this project	8	10	10	8
Q4	Quality Proposal	8	8	10	6
Q5	Reliable and accurate construction costs	6	8	10	8
Q6	Reliable and accurate operation costs	6	8	8	6
Q7	Promotion of bond election materials	6	8	10	8
Q8	High quality of aquatics facility	8	6	10	6
Q9	Create a total master plan	8	8	8	6
Q10	How do you feel about this firm	8	10	8	6

Firms' Total score

74

84

90

68

Teresa Tobias

		WKMC	Ron Hobbs	Marmon Mok	Brandstetter Carroll
Q1	Design w/ building committee recommends	6	8.5	8	8.5
Q2	Assembled Project Team	8	8	9	8.5
Q3	Experience for this project	8	9.5	7	8
Q4	Quality Proposal	8	9	9.5	9

Q5	Reliable and accurate construction costs	6	8.5	8	8
Q6	Reliable and accurate operation costs	6	8.5	8.5	8.5
Q7	Promotion of bond election materials	8	8	9.5	8
Q8	High quality of aquatics facility	8	8.5	8.5	8
Q9	Create a total master plan	6.5	8.5	9	9
Q10	How do you feel about this firm	6	9.5	8	8.5

Firms' Total score

70.5

86.5

85

84

Samantha Johnston

		WKMC	Ron Hobbs	Marmon Mok	Brandstetter Carroll
Q1	Design w/ building committee recommends	10	10	10	10
Q2	Assembled Project Team	8	8	8	8
Q3	Experience for this project	9	8.5	9.5	9
Q4	Quality Proposal	7.5	7	8.5	7
Q5	Reliable and accurate construction costs	7	6.5	8	8
Q6	Reliable and accurate operation costs	8	6	9	8.5
Q7	Promotion of bond election materials	9	8	10	8
Q8	High quality of aquatics facility	9	8	10	8.5
Q9	Create a total master plan	9	8.5	9.5	8.5

Q10	How do you feel about this firm	9.5	8	10	9		

Firms' Total score

86	78.5	92.5	84.5
----	------	------	------

Dennis Bailey

		WKMC	Ron Hobbs	Marmon Mok	Brandstetter Carroll
Q1	Design w/ building committee recommends	8	7	10	7.5
Q2	Assembled Project Team	8	7	10	7.5
Q3	Experience for this project	8	7	10	7.5
Q4	Quality Proposal	8	7	10	7.5
Q5	Reliable and accurate construction costs	8	7	10	7.5
Q6	Reliable and accurate operation costs	8	7	10	7.5
Q7	Promotion of bond election materials	8	7	10	7.5
Q8	High quality of aquatics facility	8	7	10	7.5
Q9	Create a total master plan	8	7	10	7.5
Q10	How do you feel about this firm	8	7	10	7.5

Firms' Total score

80	70	100	75
----	----	-----	----

Firm's Final Average Score

74.625	76.875	89.938	83.125
--------	--------	--------	--------