

# **ECS** Southwest, LLP

## Geotechnical Engineering Report

## Uptown Plum Creek Park & Wastewater Line

Kohlers Crossing Kyle, Texas

ECS Project Number 17:5418

July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2020





"Setting the Standard for Service"

Geotechnical • Construction Materials • Environmental • Facilities

TX Registered Engineering Firm F-8461

July 20, 2020

Mr. Terry E. Mitchell MG Cardinal at Uptown, LLC 1711 E. Cesar Chavez, Suite B Austin, Texas 78702

ECS Project No. 17:5418

#### Reference: Geotechnical Engineering Report Uptown Plum Creek Park & Wastewater Line **Kohlers** Crossing Kyle, Texas

Dear Mr. Mitchell:

ECS Southwest, LLP (ECS) has completed the subsurface exploration, laboratory testing, and geotechnical engineering analyses for the above-referenced project. ECS Southwest, LLP (ECS) conducted this subsurface exploration and geotechnical engineering evaluation in accordance with ECS Proposal No. 17-6445 dated April 23, 2020. This report presents our understanding of the geotechnical aspects of the project, the results of the field exploration and laboratory testing conducted, and our recommendations for design and construction.

It has been our pleasure to be of service during the design phase of this project. We would appreciate the opportunity to remain involved during the continuation of the design phase, and we would like to provide our services during construction phase operations as well to verify the subsurface conditions considered for this report. Should you have any questions concerning the information contained in this report, or if we can be of further assistance to you, please contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

**ECS Southwest, LLP** 

Connor Roman, P.E. Department Manager croman@ecslimited.com

Kay Ritchie, E.I.T. Staff Engineer kritchie @ecslimited.com



with Mushershe

Robert L. Mashewske, P.E. Subsidiary Regional Manager rmashewske@ecslimited.com

SayMRitchis Electronic seal approved by Connor F. Roman, P.E. on July 20, 2020

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 General	3
1.2 Scope of Services	3
1.3 Authorization	4
2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION	5
2.1 Project Location	5
2.2 Current Site Conditions	5
2.3 Proposed Construction	5
3.0 FIELD EXPLORATION	7
3.1 Field Exploration Program	7
3.1.1 Test Borings	7
3.1.2 Shelby Tube Sampling	7
3.1.3 Penetration Tests and Sampling	7
3.2 Regional Geology	8
3.3 Subsurface Characterization	8
3.4 Groundwater Observations	9
4.0 LABORATORY TESTING	11
5.0 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS	12
5.1 Potential Vertical Rise	12
5.2 Conventional Strip and Spread Footings	13
5.2.1 Non-Structural Slab-on-Grade Floors	14
5.3 Slab-on-Grade Foundations	14
5.4 Seismic Design Considerations	15
5.5 Retaining Walls	16
5.6 Pavement Design	18
5.6.1 Pavement Materials	20
5.6.2 Rigid Pavement Considerations	20
5.6.3 Pavement Drainage, Subdrainage, and Trenching	21
6.0 SITE PREPARATION, GRADING AND DRAINAGE	23
6.1 General Site Preparation	23
6.2 Building Pad Grading	23
6.3 General Fill	24
6.4 Select Fill	24
6.5 Drainage	25
7.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	26
7.1 Earthwork	26
7.2 Shallow Foundations	26
7.3 Sidewalks and Flatwork	26
/.4 Utility French Construction	27
8.0 FIELD OBSERVATIONS & TESTING	28
8.1 Earthwork	28
8.2 Shallow Foundations	28
9.0 EXCAVATIONS	29
10.0 LIMITATIONS	30

#### **APPENDICES**

#### Appendix A – Figures

- Site Location Plan
- Boring Location Plan
- Site Geologic Map

#### Appendix B – Field Operations

- Boring Logs
- Reference Notes for Boring Logs

#### Appendix C – Laboratory Testing

- Laboratory Testing Summary
- Grain Size Distributions

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The following summarizes the main findings of the exploration, particularly those that may have a cost impact on the planned development. Further, our principal geotechnical recommendations are summarized. Information gleaned from the executive summary should not be utilized in lieu of reading the entire geotechnical report.

- It is understood that the proposed development will include an approximate 1.6 acre park that consists of a pavilion/restroom structure, an interactive water feature, a playground, and associated appurtenances.
- The site geology is mapped closed to a boundary between Austin Chalk (Kau) and Fluviatile terrace deposits (Qhg). Austin Chalk generally consists of chalk, limestone, marly limestone and marl, and fluviatile terrace deposits generally consist of a mix of clay, silt, sand and gravel placed in various lenses and layers by stream processes.
- The borings completed for this study generally encountered two strata, which included about 3.5 to 13.5 feet of overburden soils consisting of dark brown to light brown and light brown with gray, medium stiff to very hard fat clay, lean clay, sandy lean clay, and gravelly lean clay, and very dense clayey sand, underlain by very hard marl and limestone. Groundwater was not encountered during drilling or completion of drilling the borings at the site.
- The predominant geotechnical and geological constraints that need to be addressed at the site are the highly expansive soil conditions and the very hard bedrock anticipated in excavations.
- The earthwork, foundation, and utility contractors should be prepared with heavy duty rock excavation equipment and tooling to complete excavations into Stratum II materials at this site. Bedrock depths should be expected to vary across the site.
- We have estimated potential shrink/swell at the site utilizing the TxDOT PVR method (Tex 124-E). We estimate the existing PVR at the site to be up to about 2 inches. Recommendations are provided herein to reduce the PVR in park structure areas to about 1 inch by undercutting the existing ground as required, and then filling to the proposed finished pad grade with at least 2½ feet of select fill, and to reduce the PVR in the park structure areas to about 34 inch by undercutting the existing ground as required, and then filling to the proposed finished pad grade with at least 21/2 feet of select fill, and to reduce the PVR in the park structure areas to about 34 inch by undercutting the existing ground as required, and then filling to the proposed finished pad grade with at least 4 feet of select fill.
- The proposed park structures can be founded on conventional strip and spread footing foundations. The net allowable bearing capacity for footings at least 12 inches wide and deep is 3,000 psf.
- The proposed park structures can be supported by monolithic beam and slab-on-grade foundation systems. Grade beams and widened column areas at least 10 inches wide and 18 inches deep can be designed using a net allowable bearing capacity of 3,000 psf.

• Light duty pavements can consist of 2 inches asphaltic concrete on 8 inches base on a prepared subgrade, or 5 inches concrete on a prepared subgrade. Moderate duty pavements can consist of 2½ inches asphaltic concrete on 10 inches base on prepared subgrade, or 5½ inches concrete on a prepared subgrade. Heavy duty pavements can consist of 7 inches concrete on a prepared subgrade.

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 General

The purpose of this study was to provide geotechnical information for the design of the 1.6 acre park that consists of a pavilion/restroom structure, an interactive water feature, a playground, and associated appurtenances.

The recommendations developed for this report are based on project information provided by the Client, the Final Plat Plum Creek Uptown Phase IA prepared by WGI and dated October 29, 2019, and ECS' experience with similar projects and the local geology. This report contains the results of our subsurface explorations and geotechnical laboratory testing programs, site characterization, engineering analyses, and recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed improvements.

#### **1.2 Scope of Services**

To obtain the necessary geotechnical information required for evaluation of subsurface conditions supporting the proposed structures, 10 borings were drilled and sampled at the site to depths of up to 20 feet each beneath the existing ground surface. The number of borings and the locations of the borings were selected by the client, and the borings were located by ECS in the field using a handheld GPS unit. A laboratory testing program was also implemented to characterize the physical and geotechnical engineering properties of the subsurface soils.

This report discusses our exploratory and testing procedures, presents our findings and evaluations and includes the following:

- A brief review and description of our field and laboratory test procedures and the results of testing conducted.
- A review of surface features and site conditions.
- A review of site geologic conditions.
- A review of subsurface soil stratigraphy with pertinent available physical properties.
- Logs of our soil test borings.
- Recommendations for site preparation, grading and drainage.
- Recommendations for foundation design and construction.
- Recommendations for retaining wall design.
- Recommendations for pavement design.

The scope of services for this project did not include an environmental assessment for determining the presence or absence of wetlands, or corrosive, hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, bedrock, surface water, groundwater, or air on or below, or around this site. Any statements in this report or on the boring logs regarding odors, colors, and unusual or suspicious items or conditions are strictly for informational purposes.

#### **1.3** Authorization

Our services were provided in accordance with ECS Proposal No. 17-6445 dated April 23, 2020. This study was authorized by Mr. Terry E. Mitchell of MG Cardinal at Uptown, LLC, via signature of the Consulting/Professional Services Agreement dated May 18, 2020.

#### **2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION**

#### 2.1 Project Location

The site is located about 1,100 feet northwest of the intersection of Kohlers Crossing and Everett Street in Kyle, Texas. The location is depicted in Figure 2.1.1 as shown below.



Figure 2.1.1 Site Location

#### 2.2 Current Site Conditions

The site is undeveloped and contains recently cleared grassed area. Based on historical imagery, the subject site has been undeveloped grassed land dating back to 1995.

#### 2.3 Proposed Construction

It is understood that the proposed development will include an approximate 1.6 acre park that consists of a pavilion/restroom structure, an interactive water feature, a playground, and associated appurtenances. An approximate 950 foot segment of an underground wastewater utility line will be constructed beneath the proposed Everett Street located just north and along the eastern and southern boundaries of the proposed park. Proposed grading information was not provided at the time of this report, and it is anticipated that relatively minor cuts/fills will be required to achieve finished pad grades for the park structures. Based on the information provided,

it is understood that the wastewater line will be located approximately 6 feet to 12 feet beneath the existing ground surface. Structural loading information was not provided at the time of this report.

#### **3.0 FIELD EXPLORATION**

#### 3.1 Field Exploration Program

The field exploration was planned with the objective of characterizing the project site in general geotechnical and geological terms and to evaluate subsequent field and laboratory data to assist in the determination of geotechnical recommendations.

#### 3.1.1 Test Borings

The subsurface conditions were explored by drilling a total of 10 test borings. Three (3) borings were drilled to depths of about 15 feet each and four (4) borings were drilled to about 5 feet each beneath the existing ground surface in the proposed park area, and one (1) boring was drilled to about 20 feet and two (2) borings were drilled to about 15 feet each for the proposed wastewater line. Drilling was performed using a truck-mounted drill rig, utilizing air rotary drilling methodology.

The boring locations were determined by the client, and the borings were located by ECS in the field using a handheld GPS unit. The approximate boring locations are shown on the Boring Location Plan attached in Appendix A.

Field logs of the soils encountered in the borings were maintained by the drill crew. After recovery, each geotechnical sample was removed from the sampler and visually classified. Representative portions of each soil sample were then bagged in plastic and placed in boxes and transported to our laboratory for further visual examination and laboratory testing. After completion of the exploratory operations, the boreholes were backfilled with soil cuttings to the existing ground surface.

#### 3.1.2 Shelby Tube Sampling

Where possible, soil samples were obtained using a Shelby Tube sampler in general accordance with ASTM D 1587. In this sampling procedure, a thin walled, seamless steel tube with a sharp cutting edge is pushed hydraulically into the soil and a relatively undisturbed soil sample is obtained. Samples were removed from samplers in the field, visually classified, and appropriately sealed in sample containers to preserve their in-situ moisture contents.

Where possible, small scale penetration tests were performed on samples of cohesive soil with the use of a calibrated hand "pocket" penetrometer. In this test, the unconfined compressive strength of a soil sample is estimated to a maximum of 4.5 tons per square foot (tsf) by measuring the resistance of the soil sample to the penetration of a small diameter, calibrated, spring-loaded cylinder. The results of such small scale testing are more qualitative than quantitative and are not intended to represent accurate measurements of unconfined compressive strength at the respective depths sampled and tested.

#### 3.1.3 Penetration Tests and Sampling

Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) were performed to obtain representative samples and penetration resistance measurements in general accordance with ASTM D 1586. Soil samples were obtained at various intervals with the 1.625-inch inside diameter, 2-inch outside diameter, Split

Spoon sampler. The Split Spoon sampler was first seated 6 inches to penetrate any loose cuttings, and then was driven an additional 12 inches with blows of a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. The number of hammer blows required to drive the sampler each 6 inch increment was recorded. The penetration resistance "N-value" is defined as the number of hammer blows required to drive the sampler the final 12 inches and is indicated on the test boring logs. In very dense materials such as weathered rock material, the SPT test is usually stopped after 50 blows from the hammer and the measurement is recorded as 50 blows per distance penetrated (i.e. 50 over 3 inches).

#### 3.2 Regional Geology

The *Geologic Atlas of Texas* indicates that the site is mapped close to a boundary between Austin Chalk (Kau) and Fluviatile terrace deposits (Qhg). Austin Chalk generally consists of chalk, limestone, marly limestone and marl, and fluviatile terrace deposits generally consist of a mix of clay, silt, sand and gravel placed in various lenses and layers by stream processes. The approximate location of the site on the geologic map is provided below on Figure 3.2.1.



Figure 3.2.1

Map for Figure 3.2.1 obtained from the Geologic Atlas of Texas, Austin Sheet, UT BEG, Reprinted 1981

#### **3.3 Subsurface Characterization**

The subsurface conditions encountered appear to be generally consistent with published geological mapping. The following table provides generalized characterizations of the soil strata encountered

during our subsurface exploration. For specific subsurface information, refer to the boring logs in Appendix B.

Information from our test borings indicates that the stratigraphy may generally consist of 2 distinguishable strata within the exploration depths of 20 feet. A general description of each stratum is included in the table below.

	RANGE OF	STRATUM DESCRIPTION AND	WC RANGE	PI RANGE	N RANGE	PP RANGE
STRATOW	DEPTH (FT)	CLASSIFICATION	WC AVG.	PI AVG.	N AVG.	PP AVG.
I		(CH/CL) Fat Clay to Lean Clay, (CL) Lean Clay with Sand, (CL) Sandy Lean Clay, (CL) Gravelly Lean Clay with Sand, and (SC)	12-26	18-42	20-50/4"	1.0-4.5
	0 – (3.5- 13.5)	Clayey Sand with Gravel; light brown with gray, dark brown to light brown and light brown with gray,; medium stiff to very hard, very dense	18	32	58	4.0
II	(3.5-13.5) –	Austin Chalk: Marl, Limestone, some weathered Sandy Lean Clay layers; light brown with gray and light brown to tan; very hard	12		73-50/1"	
	20		12		50/3"	

Notes: Depth- Soil Stratum depth from existing ground surface at the time of our geotechnical exploration WC- Water Content, %

PI- Plasticity Index

N- Standard Penetration "N" Value, blows per foot

PP- Pocket Penetrometer Value, tsf

Please refer to the attached boring logs and laboratory data summary for a more detailed description of the subsurface conditions encountered, as the stratification descriptions above are generalized for presentation purposes.

#### 3.4 Groundwater Observations

The borings were advanced using relatively dry techniques to their full depths, enabling the potential detection of the presence of groundwater during exploration operations. Groundwater was not encountered during or upon completion of drilling the borings at the site. Upon completion of field operations, the boreholes were backfilled with soil cuttings.

It should be noted that water levels in open boreholes may require several hours to several days to stabilize depending on the permeability of the soils and that groundwater levels at the site may be subject to seasonal conditions, recent rainfall, drought or temperature effects. Clays, marl and massive limestone are generally not conducive to the presence of groundwater; however, gravels,

sands and silts; where present, can store and transmit "perched" groundwater flow or seepage. Therefore, groundwater conditions should be evaluated just prior to and during construction.

#### **4.0 LABORATORY TESTING**

Samples were transported to the ECS laboratory where they were examined and visually classified by an ECS geotechnical engineer using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) in general accordance with ASTM D 2488. To aid in classification of the soils and determination of their selected engineering characteristics, a testing program was conducted on selected samples in general accordance with the following standards:

LABORATORY TESTING	TEST STANDARD
Moisture Content	ASTM D 2216
Atterberg Limits	ASTM D 4318
Sieve Analysis	ASTM D 1140 and ASTM D 422

Results of the laboratory tests are included in the appendices on the boring logs and are presented on the Laboratory Test Summary Table. Laboratory test results were used to classify the soils encountered as outlined by USCS in general accordance with ASTM D 2487. The USCS group symbols for each soil type are indicated in parentheses with the soil descriptions on the test logs. A brief explanation of the USCS is included in Appendix B.

All samples were returned to our laboratory in Austin, Texas. Samples not tested in the laboratory will be stored for a period of 60 days subsequent to submittal of this report and will be discarded after this period, unless we receive alternate instructions regarding their disposition.

#### **5.0 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS**

The following recommendations have been developed on the basis of the previously described project characteristics and subsurface conditions. If there are any changes to the project characteristics or if different subsurface conditions are encountered during construction, ECS should be consulted so that the recommendations of this report can be reviewed. It is recommended that ECS conduct a geotechnical review of the project plans (prior to issuance for construction) to check to see that ECS' geotechnical recommendations have been properly interpreted and implemented. Site grading information was not provided during the preparation of this report, and we have considered that relatively minor cuts/fills will be required in the proposed park structure areas. If deviations from these grades occur, the recommendations provided below should be evaluated by our office.

#### **5.1 Potential Vertical Rise**

Structural damage and/or cosmetic/operational distress can be caused by volume changes in clay soils. The expansive soils found at this site are capable of swelling and shrinking in volume dependent on potentially changing soil water conditions during or after construction. Clays can shrink when they lose water and swell (grow in volume) when they gain water. The potential of expansive clays to shrink and swell is related to; amongst other things, the Plasticity Index (PI). Clays with a higher PI generally have a greater potential for soil volume changes due to moisture content variations.

Several methods exist to evaluate swell potential of expansive clay soils. We have estimated potential heave for this site utilizing the TxDOT method (Tex 124-E). The Tex 124-E method provides an estimate of potential vertical rise (PVR) using the liquid limits, plasticity indices, and existing water contents for soils. The PVR is estimated in the seasonally active zone, which can be up to about 15 feet in the site vicinity, or to a depth of inert material such as marlstone or limestone bedrock.

Estimated PVR values are based upon assumed typical changes in soil moisture content from a dry (existing) to wet condition; however, soil movements in the field depend on the actual changes in moisture content. Thus, actual soil movements could be less than that calculated if little soil moisture variations occur, or the actual movement could exceed the estimated values if actual soil moisture content changes exceed the PVR methods assumed dry and wet limits. This condition is often the result of excessive droughts, flooding, "perched" groundwater infiltration, poor surface-drainage, excessive irrigation adjacent to building foundations, and/or leaking irrigation lines or plumbing.

We estimate the existing PVR at the site to be up to about 2 inches. Recommendations are provided herein to reduce the PVR in park structure areas to about 1 inch by undercutting the existing ground as required, and then filling to the proposed finished pad grade with at least 2½ feet of select fill, and to reduce the PVR in the park structure areas to about ¾ inch by undercutting the existing ground as required, and then filling to the proposed finished pad grade with at least 4 feet of select fill.

In this general area, most structural and geotechnical engineers consider a PVR of ¾ to 1 inch to be within acceptable tolerances for properly designed shallow foundations. However, this movement does not take into consideration the movement criteria required or perceived by the facility owner or occupants. These "operational" performance criteria may be, and often are, more restrictive than the structural criteria or tolerances.

Grade supported foundation or floor slab movements that approach ¾ to 1 inch may cause doors to stick, cracks in sheetrock or brittle floor covering, cracks in exterior finishes and other forms of cosmetic distress. Measures can and should be taken during the design and construction of the facility to help limit the extent and severity of these types of distress. However, these magnitudes of movement typically do not cause "structural distress." The PVR mentioned in this report are seasonal movements that will occur throughout the life of the structure.

#### **5.2 Conventional Strip and Spread Footings**

The proposed park structures can be supported by conventional strip and spread footings. The allowable bearing capacity for footings at least 12 inches wide and deep is 3,000 psf. It is suggested that park structures utilizing conventional strip and spread footing foundations be improved to a  $\frac{3}{4}$  inch PVR condition.

For resistance to lateral loads, a coefficient of friction of 0.32 between the base of the foundation elements and underlying bearing soils is recommended. In addition, for footings cast directly against excavation sidewalls, a passive resistance equal to an equivalent fluid weighing 250 pounds per cubic foot acting against the foundation may be used to resist lateral forces. The recommended lateral resistance values are ultimate values and a suitable factor of safety should be used.

Where utility trenches or other excavations are located adjacent to foundations, the bottom of the footing should be located below an imaginary 1:1 (horizontal to vertical) plane projected upward from the nearest bottom edge of the utility trench.

The uplift resistance of a shallow foundation formed in an open excavation will be limited to the weight of the foundation concrete and the soil above it. For design purposes, the ultimate uplift resistance should be based on effective unit weights of 105 and 150 pcf for soil and concrete, respectively. This value should be reduced by an appropriate factor of safety to arrive at the allowable uplift load. If there is a chance of submergence, the buoyant unit weights should be used.

Post-construction total and differential (over a 40-foot distance) settlements for foundations constructed as recommended herein are anticipated to be about one (1) inch and one-half (½) inch, respectively. Contraction, control, or expansion joints should be designed and placed in various portions of the structure. Properly planned placement of these joints will assist in controlling the degree and location of material cracking which normally occurs due to material shrinkage, thermal effects, soil movements, and other related structural conditions.

Footing excavations should have firm bottoms and be free from slough prior to concrete or reinforcing steel placement. The foundation excavations should be observed by ECS prior to placement of reinforcing steel or concrete to observe the exposed ground conditions.

#### 5.2.1 Non-Structural Slab-on-Grade Floors

The design of any grade-supported floor slab should take into consideration the interaction between the slab and the supporting soils in resisting moments and shears induced by applied loads. Several design methods use the modulus of subgrade reaction, k, to account for soil properties in design. The modulus of subgrade reaction is a spring constant that depends on the soil type, the degree of compaction and the moisture content. The k-value presented in the following table can be used for the design of flat, grade-supported floor slabs for this project. The k-value assumes that soil materials have been properly placed and compacted beneath the slab and that site drainage is good. Adequate construction joints and reinforcement should be provided to reduce the potential for cracking of the floor slabs due to differential movement.

Select Fill Type	k-value, pci
2 Feet Select Fill	100
1½ Foot Select Fill, 6 Inches Compacted TxDOT Item 247 Type A, Grade 1 Base	125

Where moisture sensitive floor coverings or equipment will be installed, we recommend that at least a 10 mil vapor retarder be used beneath the slab. The vapor retarder should conform to ASTM E1745, Class C or better and shall have a maximum water vapor permeance of 0.044 when tested in accordance with ASTM E96. Consideration to specifying a thicker, more durable vapor retarder should also be made where anticipated construction traffic dictates. If a vapor retarder is considered to provide moisture protection, special attention should be given to the surface curing of the slabs to minimize uneven drying of the slabs and associated cracking and/or slab curling. Please refer to the latest edition of ACI 302.2R-06 Guide for Concrete Slabs that Receive Moisture-Sensitive Flooring Materials and ASTM E 1643 Standard Practice for Installation of Water Vapor Retarders Used in Contact with Earth or Granular Fill Under Concrete Slabs for additional guidance on this issue.

#### 5.3 Slab-on-Grade Foundations

The proposed park structures can be supported by monolithic beam and slab-on-grade foundation systems. The rigidity of a beam and slab foundation system can reduce the effects of differential soil movement due to compression of soils due to structural loads or shrink-swell due to expansive soils. This type of slab can be designed with conventionally reinforced perimeter and interior stiffening grade beams, and/or with post-tensioning adequate to provide sufficient rigidity to the slab element. The grade beam width and depth will be determined by the project Structural Engineer. Grade beams may be thickened and widened at column or load bearing wall locations to support concentrated load areas, if necessary. All grade beams and floor slabs should be adequately reinforced with steel to reduce cracking and support bending moments caused by loading and minor movements of foundation soils.

The design values below are based on the subsurface conditions encountered during this exploration and the recommendations for building pad grading provided herein. If the project information changes, we should be contacted to review; and if necessary, provide alternate design parameters based on the changed conditions. These parameters are provided to assist the

Structural Engineer in design of a foundation that is stiffened using grade beams (ribs), post tensioning, or a combination thereof.

POST-TENSIONED SLAB PARAMETERS PTI 3 <sup>RD</sup> EDITION WITH 2008 SUPPLEMENTS										
DESIGN PARAMETER 1-INCH PVR DESIGN VALUES										
e <sub>m</sub> Edge	4.5 Feet									
e <sub>m</sub> Center	8.7 Feet									
y <sub>m</sub> Edge	1.3 Inches									
ym Center	0.9 Inches									
BRAB/WRI PARAM	ETERS									
DESIGN PARAMETER	1-INCH PVR DESIGN VALUES									
Effective PI	28									
Climatic Rating	18									
Unconfined Compressive Strength (TSF)	1.5									
Soil-Climate Support Index (1-C)	0.14									

Grade beams and widened column areas at least 10 inches wide and 18 inches deep can be designed using a net allowable bearing capacity of 3,000 psf. To utilize the parameters listed above, the subgrade should be prepared in accordance with the "Site Preparation, Grading and Drainage" sections of this report including improving the as-built PVR to 1 inch.

Foundations at this site should be expected to undergo some vertical movements. These movements can potentially cause cosmetic distress and must be accounted for in the design process. Contraction, control, or expansion joints should be designed and placed in various portions of the structures. Properly planned placement of these joints will assist in controlling the degree and location of material cracking which normally occurs due to material shrinkage, thermal effects, soil movements, and other related structural conditions.

Where moisture sensitive floor coverings or equipment will be installed, we recommend that at least a 10 mil vapor retarder be used beneath the slabs. The vapor retarder should conform to ASTM E1745, Class C or better and shall have a maximum water vapor permeance of 0.044 when tested in accordance with ASTM E96. Consideration to specifying a thicker, more durable vapor retarder should also be made where anticipated construction traffic dictates. Please refer to the latest edition of ACI 302.2R-06 Guide for Concrete Slabs that Receive Moisture-Sensitive Flooring Materials and ASTM E 1643 Standard Practice for Installation of Water Vapor Retarders Used in Contact with Earth or Granular Fill Under Concrete Slabs for additional guidance on this issue.

#### **5.4 Seismic Design Considerations**

For the purposes of seismic design, a Site Class C (Very Dense Soil/Soft Rock) as defined in the 2015 International Building Code (IBC) / ASCE 7 is recommended for use at the project site. The site class is based on our review of geologic maps and literature and the subsurface conditions encountered in our soil borings. Using this site class and the location of the project site (lat. 30.0320°, long. -97.8780°), probabilistic ground motion values were determined for this project and are shown in the following table:

PERIOD (SECONDS)	DESIGN SPECTRAL RESPONSE ACCELERATION PARAMETERS	SITE COEFFICIENT, FA	SITE COEFFICIENT, FV		
0.2	0.052 (S <sub>DS</sub> )	1.2			
1.0	0.036 (S <sub>D1</sub> )		1.7		

It should be noted that the borings at the project site extended up to depths of 20 feet below the ground surface, whereas ASCE 7 site classifications are based on characterization of the upper 100 feet of the soil profile. The seismic parameters shown in the above table are based on the information provided in the IBC manual on Tables 1613.3.3(1) and 1613.3.3(2), the site classification, and mapped spectral response accelerations at the short and one (1) second time periods. The above parameters were developed using the United States Geological Survey geohazards webpage, and the 2015 IBC design provisions.

#### 5.5 Retaining Walls

The magnitude of the lateral earth pressures on retaining walls is dependent upon the in-situ material behind the wall; and if displaced, the type of material used to backfill the "active zone" behind the wall. The magnitude of the earth pressure is also dependent upon whether the active zone is allowed to drain water freely. The active zone can be considered as the area behind the structure within a boundary created by a 45 degree angle extending from the outside edge of the foundation heel upward to the ground surface.

The lateral earth pressures for drained, level soil backfill are expressed in terms of pounds per cubic foot (psf/ft.) "equivalent fluid" weight applied in a triangular distribution pattern as listed below. If the walls are free to deflect or rotate slightly at the top they may be designed using "active" lateral earth pressures. If the walls are laterally restrained at the top, "at-rest" lateral earth pressures should be used for the retaining wall design. Where multiple material types are used within the active zone, the higher values below should be used. The equivalent fluid weights shown in the table do not include any safety factors and do not account for any surcharges. Lateral loads from uniform surcharges on the wall backfill can be calculated by multiplying the vertical surcharge by the below earth pressure coefficients and should be considered as rectangular loads acting on the full wall height. An increase of 1 pcf and 1.5 pcf should be added to the active and at-rest earth pressures; respectively, for each degree of inclination of backfill.

SOIL DESCRIPTION	TOTAL UNIT WEIGHT (PCF)	TOTAL UNITACTIVE EARTHAT-REST EARTHWEIGHT (PCF)PRESSURE COEFFICIENTPRESSURE COEFFICIENT		DRAINED ACTIVE EARTH PRESSURE (PSF/FT)	DRAINED AT- REST EARTH PRESSURE (PSF/FT)
Undisturbed or Compacted Native Soil	120	0.42	0.59	51	71
Select Fill	120	0.36	0.53	43	64
Undisturbed/Cemented Marlstone Bedrock	145	0.24	0.38	34	53
ASTM C33 Size #56, #57 or #467 Stone	110	0.33	0.50	37	55
Compacted Manufactured Sand (< 8% Fines)	120	0.33	0.50	40	60
Compacted TxDOT Item 247, Type A or C, Grade 1 or 2 Base	135	0.26	0.41	35	56

For sliding resistance, a coefficient of friction of 0.32 is recommended between the base of the foundation elements and underlying soils. In addition, for footings cast directly against excavated sidewalls, a passive resistance equal to an equivalent fluid applying 250 pounds per cubic foot pressure may be used to resist lateral forces. The passive resistance should be neglected in the upper 18 inches unless the ground immediately in front of the footing is covered with concrete or other impervious pavement. The above values are ultimate values, and an appropriate safety factor should be used in design.

Retaining walls outside of the building pad can be supported by shallow foundations bearing on undisturbed soils or compacted fill using an allowable bearing capacity of 3,000 psf at the bearing surface. Footing excavations should have firm bottoms and be free from slough prior to concrete or reinforcing steel placement. The geotechnical engineer should be allowed to observe foundation excavations prior to reinforcing steel or concrete placement to confirm anticipated ground conditions.

Retaining walls should be waterproofed as required by the project architect. Subdrain systems and/or drainboard composites are recommended to reduce hydrostatic pressures on retaining walls. A subdrain system can consist of 4 inch perforated pipe placed at the base of the retaining wall and surrounded by ASTM C33 Size #57 stone completely wrapped in Mirafi 140N or 160N filter fabric, or equivalent reviewed by the geotechnical engineer. The drainrock wrapped in fabric should be at least 12 inches wide and extend from the base of the wall to within two feet of the ground surface. The upper two feet of backfill should consist of compacted native soil or other impervious pavement. The retaining wall drainage system should be sloped to outlet pipes draining away from the foundations and pumped to the surface as grades require. The use of drainage openings through the base of the wall (weep holes, etc.) is not recommended where the seepage could be a nuisance or otherwise adversely impact the property adjacent to the base of the wall. The subdrain system should be checked periodically to confirm functionality; failure of the subdrain system will

affect the design lateral earth pressures and the retaining wall stability. If subdrain systems are determined to not be practical, full hydrostatic pressures should be incorporated into the wall design.

As an alternative to a stone and fabric backdrain, a prefabricated drainage composite (drainboard) such as MiraDRAIN 2000, or reviewed equivalent, can be used behind the retaining wall. The drainboard should extend from the base of the wall to within two feet of the ground surface, and should be installed in accordance with manufacturer specifications. A subdrain collector pipe surrounded with at least 5 cubic feet per foot size #57 stone (wrapped in filter fabric) should be installed at the base of the drainboard; or alternatively, an engineered system can be selected with sufficient capacity for direct connectivity to a closed pipe system. The groundwater should be conducted to an appropriate discharge or sump pump facility.

Where free-draining, clean granular materials will be used to backfill the walls, and where structures, pavements or other improvements will be located closely behind the retaining walls, it is recommended that all clean granular materials be separated from the soils and fills with the use of the above stated filter fabrics. The use of the filter fabric can greatly reduce the intrusion of the soils into the void spaces of the clean granular materials. Intrusion of the soils into the void spaces causes a net ground loss, and can cause settlement of the ground surface and overriding improvements.

The retaining wall backfill should be compacted and tested in maximum 8 inch lifts to be at least 95 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density (TxDOT 114-E) at moisture contents between optimum and plus three (+3) percentage points of the optimum moisture content.

#### 5.6 Pavement Design

ECS has prepared the following recommendations for the design and construction of both flexible and rigid pavement systems for use on the subject project. The "AASHTO Guide for Design of Pavement Structures" published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials was used to develop the pavement thickness recommendations in this report. This method of design considers pavement performance, traffic, roadbed soil, pavement materials, environment, drainage and reliability. Each of these items is incorporated into the design methodology.

We have based our analysis on the following ESAL information and pavement-related subgrade design parameters, which are considered to be typical for the area. A CBR (California Bearing Ratio) value of 3.0 percent was selected for design purposes. The CBR value was estimated based on ECS's knowledge and experience with similar soils and projects in this area.

RELIABILITY	70
INITIAL SERVICEABILITY INDEX, FLEXIBLE PAVEMENTS	4.2
INITIAL SERVICEABILITY INDEX, RIGID PAVEMENTS	4.5
TERMINAL SERVICEABILITY INDEX, ALL PAVEMENTS	2.0
STANDARD DEVIATION, FLEXIBLE PAVEMENTS	0.45
STANDARD DEVIATION, RIGID PAVEMENTS	0.35

Based on the design parameters listed above, we developed recommendations for "light duty," "moderate duty" and "heavy duty" pavement sections. "Light duty" pavements are intended for general parking areas with passenger vehicles only and have an approximate capacity of 20,000 ESAL. "Moderate duty" pavements are intended for areas subject to channelized traffic and delivery areas and have an approximate capacity of 80,000 ESAL. "Heavy duty" pavements are intended for areas subject to heavier vehicles with extensive turning, starting and stopping, such as pavement aprons associated with trash enclosures, and have an approximate capacity of 250,000 ESAL. If the owner or other members of the design team feel that the ESAL values used for design are not appropriate, ECS should be notified in writing, so any new information can be reviewed, and if necessary, the pavement recommendations revised accordingly.

The minimum recommended thickness for both hot mixed asphalt concrete (HMAC) and reinforced Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavement sections are presented in the following table for the described "light", "moderate" and "heavy" traffic conditions.

RECOMMENDED PAVEMENT SECTION OPTIONS											
COMPONENT	LIGH 20,00	IT-DUTY 00 ESALS	MOI C 80,00	DERATE- DUTY DO ESALS	HEAVY-DUTY 250,000 ESALS						
	RIGID	ASPHALT	RIGID	ASPHALT	RIGID	ASPHALT					
Portland Cement Reinforced Concrete (PCC)	5.0 in		5.5 in		7.0 in						
Hot Mixed Asphalt Concrete (HMAC)		2.0 in		2.5 in							
Crushed Limestone Base (CLB)		8.0 in		10.0 in							

The pavement sections described above are considered suitable for general-purpose usage for the anticipated subgrade conditions and were designed using the AASHTO Pavement and Analysis System. An aggressive maintenance program to keep joints and cracks sealed to prevent moisture infiltration will help extend the pavement life.

We recommend that rigid pavement sections be used in all heavy truck traffic areas. The concrete pavement should extend throughout the areas that require extensive turning and maneuvering of the delivery vehicles, etc. Waste dumpster pads, loading areas and other heavily loaded pavement areas that are not designed to accommodate these conditions often experience localized pavement failures, particularly if flexible pavement sections are used.

#### 5.6.1 Pavement Materials

Recommendations regarding material requirements for the various pavement sections are summarized below:

**Portland Cement Concrete** - Concrete used for paving should have a minimum compressive strength of 3,000 psi at 28-days. The air content at the point of placement should range from 2 to 4 percent. The concrete pavements should be reinforced and jointed per current ACI recommendations.

**Hot Mix Asphalt Concrete (HMAC) Surface Course** - The asphalt concrete surface course should be plant mixed, hot laid Type D (Fine Graded Surface) or Type C (Coarse Graded Surface Course) meeting the specifications requirements of TxDOT Item 340 and specific criteria for the job mix formula. The mix should be compacted to between 92 and 97 percent of the maximum theoretical density as determined by TEX-227-F.

**Crushed Limestone Base Course** - Crushed limestone base should be placed in maximum 6 inch compacted lifts. The base materials should be compacted to at least 98 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by TxDOT 113-E. Flexible base materials should be moisture conditioned to between minus two (-2) and plus three (+3) percentage points of the optimum moisture content. Flexible base materials should meet all requirements specified in 2004 TxDOT Standard Specification Item 247, Type A, Grade 1 or 2.

#### 5.6.2 Rigid Pavement Considerations

Joints are typically placed in rigid pavements to control cracking, to facilitate construction, and to isolate a section of pavement from a structure or an adjacent pavement section. Joints used to control cracking are typically known as contraction or control joints as they are intended to control cracking that arises out of the shrinkage of concrete as it cures. Construction joints are used to provide clean breaks between pavement sections that result from the construction process. Isolation joints (or expansion joints) are used to separate the pavement from other structures or pavements and typically include the use of compressible materials in the joint as opposed to contraction or construction joints. Contraction joints should be spaced no greater than 15 feet between the nearest parallel joints with joint depths of at least one-quarter ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) of the slab thickness. Contraction and construction joints should be no wider than one-eighth ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) of an inch whereas isolation joints may be up to one (1) inch wide.

Steel reinforcement is commonly used where subgrade conditions are not likely to provide uniform support to the concrete pavement. Generally, sites with expansive soils present are often unable to provide such support to rigid pavement sections. Therefore, reinforcing steel should be used to span between construction and isolation (expansion) joints and should consist of at-minimum No. 3 bars spaced 18 inches on-centers each way. The rebar should be Grade 60 steel.

As with steel reinforcement, in situations where the subgrade may not provide uniform support to the pavement, dowels are commonly used to transfer loads across joints. Smooth dowels can be used for this purpose and should be utilized as recommended in the following table.

DOWEL DESIGN INFORMATION										
SLAB THICKNESS, IN.	DOWEL DIAMETER, IN.	MIN. DOWEL EMBEDMENT EACH SIDE, IN.	MIN. DOWEL LENGTH, IN.	DOWEL SPACING ON-CENTERS, IN						
5.0	5/8	5	12	12						
5.5	3⁄4	6	14	12						
7.0	7⁄8	7	16	12						

The joint and reinforcing design of a rigid pavement system is largely a function of geometry for the pavement area. The proper length of concrete panels (defined as the distance between discontinuous pavement sections; e.g. between construction or isolation joints, or a combination of the two) and the location of contraction, construction, and isolation (expansion) joints are not included as a function of the above concrete pavement guidelines. Rather, these features should be determined based on the geometry and construction sequencing of the pavement. Actual joint spacing should be based on actual pavement areas and final panel lengths so that joints are evenly spaced. Joints should be designed to form approximately square panels where geometrically feasible. The values provided herein are guidelines and the recommendations selected by the project civil engineer and any guidelines not provided or mentioned herein should not exceed the American Concrete Institute (ACI) 330R recommendations.

#### 5.6.3 Pavement Drainage, Subdrainage, and Trenching

Longitudinal cracks and apparent distress due to expansive soils may appear in the pavement after construction and the introduction of landscape irrigation. These cracks and distress are not pavement failures with respect to traffic support, although they may be aesthetically undesirable. In addition, without regular maintenance, the cracks can allow additional moisture intrusion and rapid degradation of the pavement section. The pavement sections are primarily designed to support the traffic and will not resist the forces generated by swelling soils.

Positive drainage should be provided on and around pavement areas to prevent ponding of water. Irrigation of lawn and landscaped areas adjacent to the pavements should be moderate, with no excessive wetting or drying of soils adjacent to the pavements. If landscaped islands are provided, they should be designed to restrict excess water from migrating to the pavement subgrade by using self-contained beds, raised planter boxes, vertical moisture barriers, and/or edge drains. Curbs should extend through the base course and at least 4 inches into the underlying subgrade. Good perimeter surface drainage guiding surface water away from the pavement area is also recommended.

Utility trench backfill can act materially different than adjacent natural soils, even if properly placed and compacted. Differential movements may occur which can lead to crack development near the edges of utility trenches, riser structures, manholes, etc, with the more noticeable cracks appearing in deeper fill zones. This type of cracking is considered typical for this type of construction if special care is not taken to prevent it.

As an option to help mitigate the effects of differential soil movements, we recommend that fill placed at depths greater than 5 feet be compacted to no less than 98% of the maximum dry density between minus one (-1) and plus three (+3) percentage points of the optimum moisture content (TxDOT 114-E).

#### 6.0 SITE PREPARATION, GRADING AND DRAINAGE

Preparation of the subgrade soils for areas to receive structures, fills or pavements should be conducted in accordance with the recommendations presented in the following sections.

#### 6.1 General Site Preparation

Existing vegetation, organic laden soil, loose or soft soils, abandoned subsurface utilities, and any other deleterious materials must be removed from the proposed construction areas and properly disposed. Excavations resulting from the removals should be cleaned down to firm soils and backfilled with general fill in accordance with this report.

After stripping and any required cuts have been completed, the subgrade soils should be scarified, moisture conditioned and compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by TxDOT 114-E to a depth of at least 8 inches. The soils should be moisture conditioned to between optimum and plus four (+4) percentage points of the optimum moisture content just prior to compaction. Where cemented limestone bedrock is encountered at the subgrade elevation and verified by ECS, these materials need not be ripped or compacted.

Proof-rolling should be performed where possible with a heavy (minimum 20 ton) rubber-tired vehicle such as a loaded dump truck. Soils that are observed to rut or deflect excessively under the moving load should be under-cut and replaced with compacted structural fill that meets the requirements of the section titled General Fill. All proof-rolling and under-cutting activities should be observed by ECS and should be performed during periods of dry weather.

After stripping, removals, subgrade preparation, proof-rolling and evaluation has been completed, fill placement may begin where required. Excavated soil that meets the material requirements in the General Fill section below may be used as compacted fill. If suitable fill soils have to be imported to the site, they must meet the material and compaction requirements of the General Fill section of this report.

#### 6.2 Building Pad Grading

To mitigate soil expansion potential in the park structure areas to about 1 inch PVR, it is recommended that existing soils be removed as required to allow for at least 2½ feet of select fill beneath finished pad grade. To mitigate soil expansion potential in park structure areas to about ¾ inch PVR, it is recommended that existing soils be removed as required to allow for at least 4 feet of select fill. Where cemented limestone bedrock is encountered at the subgrade elevation and verified by ECS, these materials need not be ripped or compacted unless desired for constructability purposes. The stripping and removal operations and fill placement to finished pad grade should extend at least 5 feet beyond the building perimeter and beneath adjacent movement sensitive concrete flatwork.

After stripping (as discussed in the General Site Preparation section) and the required cuts have been completed, the subgrade soils should be scarified, moisture conditioned and compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by Tex-114-E to a depth of at least 8

inches. The soils should be moisture conditioned to between optimum and plus four (+4) percentage points of the optimum moisture content just prior to compaction.

Proof-rolling should be performed where possible with a heavy (minimum 20 ton) rubber-tired vehicle such as a loaded dump truck. Soils that are observed to rut or deflect excessively under the moving load should be under-cut and replaced with compacted structural fill that meets the requirements of the section titled General Fill. All proof-rolling and under-cutting activities should be observed by ECS and should be performed during periods of dry weather.

After stripping, removals, subgrade preparation and evaluation has been completed, fill placement may begin. Fills in the building pad area should consist of materials meeting the requirements of the Select Fill section below. Consideration should be given to creating an "all weather" working surface with the upper 6 inches of the select fill building pad. Such a working surface should consist of compacted TxDOT Item 247 Type A, Grade 1 or 2 Base material. The use of an "all weather" working surface can significantly improve the accessibility of the site to construction traffic during periods of wet weather.

The upper 18 inches of fill outside of the structures and adjoining concrete flatwork should consist of a properly compacted low permeability clay (CL) soil to reduce infiltration of moisture into the fill materials comprising the building pads. This clay layer may be replaced with asphalt or concrete pavement that extends to the edge of the structure foundation.

#### 6.3 General Fill

General fill can consist of on-site or imported soils, provided they meet the requirements described below. All general fill materials should be clean of organics, construction debris, deleterious materials, and should be free of rocks larger than 4 inches in greatest dimension. Proposed general fill should be evaluated and tested by ECS prior to placement in the field.

ECS recommends that general fill be placed in horizontal loose lifts of not more than 8 inches in thickness. Lift thickness should be decreased when using light compaction equipment. General fill should be compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density at moisture contents within the range of optimum to plus four (+4) percentage points of the optimum moisture content (Tex-114-E).

#### 6.4 Select Fill

Select fill materials should be clean of organics, construction debris, deleterious materials, and should be free of rocks larger than 4 inches in greatest dimension. Select fill should have a Plasticity Index of between 5 and 20. Where the 'all select fill' option is chosen for PVR reduction, select fill should contain at least 35 percent material passing the No. 200 sieve (by dry unit weight) to reduce the potential for a "bathtub effect" in the building pad areas. Select fill should be evaluated and tested by ECS prior to placement in the field.

ECS recommends that select fill be placed in horizontal loose lifts of not more than 8 inches in thickness. Select fill should be compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density at moisture contents within the range of minus one (-1) to plus three (+3) percentage points of the optimum moisture content (Tex-114-E).

#### 6.5 Drainage

Water should not be allowed to collect in the foundation excavations, on foundation surfaces, or on prepared subgrades within the construction area either during or after construction. Undercut or excavated areas should be sloped toward one corner to facilitate removal of any collected rainwater, groundwater, or surface runoff. Final grading should be designed to promote positive drainage away from the structures and pavements. Soil areas within 10 feet of the buildings should slope at a minimum of 5 percent away from the structure. Adjacent pavements and concrete hardscape should slope at 1½ to 2 percent away from the structure. Roof leaders and downspouts should discharge onto paved surfaces sloping away from the structures or into a closed pipe system which outfalls to the street gutter pan or directly to the storm drain system.

#### 7.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

#### 7.1 Earthwork

Clayey soil is very sensitive to changes in moisture content. Subgrade support capacity will deteriorate when the moisture content increases. Effort should be made to keep fill, slab, pavement, and foundation subgrade areas properly drained and free of ponding water. Vehicle traffic on top of the subgrade should be prevented when the subgrade is visibly wet, and should be kept to a minimum at other times. Site grading and fill placement should preferably be performed during drier seasons of the year.

Fill materials should not be placed on soils that have been recently subjected to precipitation or saturation. All wet soils should be removed or allowed to dry prior to continuation of fill placement operations. Borrow fill materials, if required, should not contain wet materials at the time of placement.

If any problems are encountered during the earthwork operations, or if site conditions deviate from those encountered during our subsurface exploration, the Geotechnical Engineer should be notified immediately to determine the effect on recommendations expressed in this report.

Certain construction practices can reduce the magnitude of problems associated with moisture content increases of subgrade soil for slabs and areas to receive compacted fill. The contractor should seal exposed subgrade areas at the end of the work day with a smooth drum roller to reduce the potential for infiltration of water into the subgrade. Site grading should be continuously evaluated to assure that surface runoff will drain away from slab and fill areas.

#### 7.2 Shallow Foundations

Exposure to the environment may weaken the soils at the foundation bearing level if the foundation excavations remain exposed during periods of inclement weather. Therefore, foundation concrete should be placed as soon as possible after final excavation is achieved and after the subgrade has been evaluated by a representative of the geotechnical engineer. If the bearing soils are softened by surface water absorption or exposure to the environment, the softened soils must be removed from the foundation excavation bottom prior to placement of concrete. If the foundation excavation must remain open an extended period of time, or if rainfall is apparent while the bearing soils are exposed, we suggest that a 1 to 3-inch thick "mud mat" of "lean" concrete be placed over the exposed bearing soils before the placement of reinforcing steel.

#### 7.3 Sidewalks and Flatwork

Where movement sensitive flatwork will be constructed adjacent to the buildings, consideration should be given to reducing the PVR value in the flatwork areas to reduce differential movements and associated door jamming, tripping hazards, etc. Doweling the flatwork to the building foundations at common openings will further help to reduce the potential for differential movements and trip hazards. Proper drainage around grade supported sidewalks and flatwork is also very important to reduce potential movements. Elevating the sidewalks where possible and

providing rapid, positive drainage away from them will reduce moisture variations within the underlying soils, and will therefore provide valuable benefit in reducing the full magnitude of potential movements from being realized.

#### 7.4 Utility Trench Construction

Utility trenches in the building pads should be backfilled above the utility bedding and shading materials with select fill, and general fill material outside the building pad area. The backfill materials should be placed in lifts not to exceed 8 inches loose measure, or 6 inches compacted measure. Thinner lifts may be required when using hand held compaction equipment. Backfill materials should be moisture conditioned to between optimum and plus three (+3) percentage points of the optimum moisture content and compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by TxDOT 114-E.

Utility trenches should be sealed with lean concrete, lean clayey soil, controlled low-strength material or flowable fill where the utility approaches and enters the building pad area. This would reduce the potential for migration of water beneath the buildings through the bedding and shading materials in the utility trench.

#### **8.0 FIELD OBSERVATIONS & TESTING**

Personnel from ECS should perform the field observations and testing recommended in this report because of our familiarity with the project and site conditions. The performance of foundations and pavements is primarily controlled by the quality of the construction. To prevent misinterpretation of our recommendations, ECS should be retained to perform full time quality control testing, observation, and documentation during construction of the foundations and pavements.

The performance of slabs and pavements placed on new fill material is controlled by the quality of the compaction and the materials selection for the fill material. ECS should be retained to perform quality control testing and inspection during selection, placement, and compaction of the fill material.

#### 8.1 Earthwork

Field observations and testing should be performed during the earthwork operations to document proper construction. Stripping should be observed by the Geotechnical Engineer to help locate unsuitable materials that should be removed prior to placement of fill, slab, or pavement materials. Field observation and testing should include final review of subgrades prior to placement of compacted fill, slabs, or pavement. Proof-rolling should be performed by a heavy rubber-tired vehicle such as a loaded dump truck on slab and pavement subgrades. Appropriate laboratory tests such as Proctor moisture-density tests and Atterberg Limits should be performed on samples of fill material and pavement base course material. Field moisture-density tests and visual observation of lift thickness and material types should be performed during compaction operations to document that the construction satisfies material and compaction requirements. The frequency of field density tests should be at least 1 test per 2,500 square feet of building area, at least 1 test per lift per 10,000 sf of pavement area, and at least 1 test per lift per 150 linear feet of utility trench.

#### 8.2 Shallow Foundations

Prior to concrete placement, the Geotechnical Engineer should observe the foundation excavations to determine if the foundations are being placed on suitable materials and to determine if all loose materials have been removed. Geotechnical probing or Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) tests can be performed to help evaluate the foundation bearing surfaces. In areas where the subgrade is soft or loose, the soil should be removed and foundations lowered to bear on firm compacted soils, or foundation subgrade elevations can be restored using properly compacted select fill or lean concrete (e.g. 2,000 psi). The selection of an alternative is controlled by the depth and condition of the subgrade. The Geotechnical Engineer should be consulted to determine the proper selection.

Footing dimensions and reinforcing steel should also be observed. Concrete material should be sampled and tested for compressive strength, and placement operations should be monitored to record concrete slump, temperature, air content, and age at time of placement. Concrete batch tickets should be provided by the supplier so that water-cement ratios and cement content can be checked and documented.

#### 9.0 EXCAVATIONS

The earthwork, foundation, and utility contractors should be prepared with heavy duty rock excavation equipment and tooling to complete excavations into Stratum II materials at this site.

Our comments on excavation are based on our experience in the project vicinity and examination of the recovered samples. Excavation depends on the contractor's equipment, capabilities, and experience. Therefore, it should be the contractor's responsibility to determine the most effective methods for excavation. The above comments are intended for informational purposes for the design team only and may be used to review the contractor's proposed excavation methods.

Excavations that will receive compacted fill should have vertical or benched sidewalls so that lifts of fill material will be placed and compacted on horizontal planes. Stockpiles of soil or materials, and heavy equipment should not be placed immediately above and adjacent to unbraced vertical excavation walls (trenches).

In Federal Register, Volume 54, No. 209 (October 1989), the United States Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) amended its "Construction Standards for Excavations, 29 CFR, Part 1926, subpart P". This document was issued for the safety of workmen entering trenches or excavations.

It is mandated by this federal regulation that all excavations such as utility trenches, basement excavation, or footing excavations be constructed in accordance with the new OSHA requirements. These regulations are enforced.

The contractor is solely responsible for designing and constructing stable, temporary excavations and for shoring, sloping, or benching the sides of excavations as required to maintain stability of both the excavation sides and bottom. The contractor's responsible person as defined in 29 CFR Part 1926 should evaluate the soil exposed in the excavations as part of the contractor's safety procedures. In no case should slope height, slope inclination, or excavation depth exceed those specified in all local, state, and federal safety regulations.

We are providing this information solely as a service to our client. ECS does not assume responsibility for construction site safety or the contractor's or other party's compliance with local, state, and federal regulations.

#### **10.0 LIMITATIONS**

This report has been prepared to aid in the evaluation of subsurface conditions at this site and to assist design professionals in the geotechnical related design of this project. It is intended for use with regard to the specific project as described in this report. Any substantial changes or differences in understood building loads, building and pavement layouts, understood finished floor elevation, or understood site grading should be brought to our attention so that we may determine any effect on the recommendations provided in this report. It is recommended that all construction operations dealing with earthwork and foundations be reviewed by an experienced Geotechnical Engineer to provide information on which to base a decision as to whether the design requirements are fulfilled in the actual construction.

The opinions expressed in this report are those of ECS and represent interpretation of the subsurface conditions based on tests and the results of our analyses. ECS is not responsible for the interpretation or implementation by others of recommendations provided in this report. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted principles of geotechnical engineering practice and no warranties are included, expressed, or implied, as to the professional services provided under the terms of our agreement.

The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the test borings performed at the locations indicated in the exploration location plan, and from other information described in this report. This report does not reflect any variations that may occur around the test borings. In the performance of the subsurface exploration, specific information is obtained at specific locations at specific times. However, it is a well-known fact that variations in soil conditions and depth to rock exist on most sites between test boring and test pit locations, and conditions such as groundwater levels vary from time to time. The nature and extent of variations may not become evident until the course of construction. If variations then appear evident, after allowing ECS to perform on-site observations during the construction period and note characteristics and variations, a re-evaluation of the recommendations in this report will be necessary.

### **APPENDIX A – Figures**

Site Location Plan Boring Location Plan Site Geologic Map



Google Imagery Date: January 13, 2018





## **APPENDIX B – Field Operations**

Boring Logs Reference Notes for Boring Logs

CLIENT							Job #:	BOR	ING #		SHEET		
MG Cardinal at Uptown, LLC					n, LLC		17:54	18	B-1		1 OF 1		
PROJECT	NAME			-	·		ARCHITECT-E	NGINEER			·		<u>65</u>
Uptown Plum Creek Park & Wastev SITE LOCATION					ark & Waste	water Line							TER TONS/ET <sup>2</sup>
Kohle	rs C	ross	ing	, Kyle	e, TX								
NORTHIN	G			EASTIN	١G	STATION					ROCK QUALITY RQD% – –	- REC%	
			<u>Î</u>	â	DESCRIPTION OF I	MATERIAL		ENGLISH UNITS	S O F		PLASTIC LIMIT%	WATER CONTENT%	LIQUID LIMIT%
Ē	N	TYPE	DIST.	ERY (IN	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 🗩	LOSS OF CI				×	•	Δ
ДЕРТН (	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	RECOVE	SURFACE ELEVAT	ION			WATER	BLOWS/	⊗ STAN	IDARD PENETR. BLOWS/FT	ATION
	S-1	ST	24	24	(CH) FAT CLA	AY, dark brown,	very stiff						-0-
	6.0	OT.	10	10	(CL) LEAN CI	AY WITH SANE	D, light brow	n,			12.0 <b>•</b> ¥	<u>^</u> 24	4.5
	S-2	SI	18	18	very stiff MARL light b	rown with gray	verv hard			38	13.0 0		- <u></u>
5										50/2			
-													
												:	
10													
												:	
15													
20												:	
25 —												:	
_												:	
30													
	. 1	I		I	1			I	I	I			
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE #			T THE APPROXIMAT	OXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES BETWEEN SOIL TYPES. II			PES. IN	ES. IN-SITU THE TRANSITION MAY BE GRADUAL.					
₩ wr N	lone			ws	WD	BORING STARTE	D 07/0	1/20		CAV	E IN DEPTH		
₩ WL(SI	HW)		Ţ	WL(AC	R)	BORING COMPLE	eted 07/0	1/20		HAN	IMER TYPE Auto		
₩ Ţ					RIG Truck	IG Truck FOREMAN Austin Geo DRILLING METHOD Air Rotary, ST, S				6			

CLIENT							Job #:	BOR	ING #		SHE	ΞT		
MG Cardinal at Uptown, LLC					n. LLC		17:54 <sup>-</sup>	18	B-:	2	1 0	- 1	- 5	
PROJECT	NAME				.,		ARCHITECT-EN	IGINEER						US
Uptow SITE LOC	/n P	lum	Cre	ek P	ark & Waste	water Line								
Kohle	rs C	ross	ina	. Kvle	e. TX							RAIEDFI		TER TONS/FT
NORTHIN	G			EASTIN	IG	STATION					ROCK QUA RQD%	LITY DES	IGNATION REC%	& RECOVERY
			Ê	(	DESCRIPTION OF M	MATERIAL	E	NGLISH UNITS	s v	_	PLASTIC	N COI	ATER	LIQUID
Ē	Q	ТҮРЕ	DIST.	RY (IN	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 📕	LOSS OF CIRC				×		•	
ОЕРТН (Р	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	RECOVE	SURFACE ELEVATI	ON			VATER L	SLOWS/6	$\otimes$	STANDAR BL	D PENETR OWS/FT	ATION
0	5-1	ST	24	24	(CH/CL) FAT brown, stiff	CLAY TO LEAN	CLAY, dark				18	*-9	2.5	
_	S-2	SТ	24	24	(CL) LEAN CL	AY, light brown,	very stiff					25.	5	-0-
	0-2	01	24	24	(CL) SANDY I	EAN CLAY, ligh	nt brown, very	,		8			:	4.5+
5	S-3	SS	18	18	hard					13 40	13.3		:	53-⊗
	S-4	SS	8	8	MARL, light bi dark brown cla	rown, very hard ay seam				25 50/2				50/2-⊗
_	S-5	SS	9	9						32 50/3				⊗ 50/3
10					END OF BOR	ING @ 10'			2			<u>.</u>		50/5
												:	÷	
_														
_												÷		
15 —														
												:	•	
												÷		
												÷		
20 —											:	:		
_												÷	:	
												÷		
25 —														
_												÷		
_												÷	:	
_												÷		
30 —														
								I	I	I	L			. :
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT TI				E BOUNDARY LI		SOIL T	PES. IN		ISITION M	AY BE GRAI	DUAL.			
₩L N	lone			ws	WD	BORING STARTE	D 07/01	/20		CAV	CAVE IN DEPTH			
₩ WL(S	HW)		Ţ	WL(AC	R)	BORING COMPLE	TED 07/01	/20		НАМ	IMER TYPE AU	to		
₩ Ţ					RIG Truck	Truck FOREMAN Austin Geo DRILLING METHOD Air Rotary, ST,				ry, ST, S	S			

CLIENT							Job #:		BORI	NG #		SHEET		
MG Ca	ardir	nal a	t U	ptow	'n, LLC		1	7:5418		B-3		1 OF 1		
PROJECT	NAME			-			ARCHIT	ECT-ENGINEEF	2					<u>65</u>
Uptow SITE LOCA	n PI	um	Cre	ek P	ark & Waste	water Line						_		14
Kohler	د ()		ina	Kyl	а ТХ								PENETROME	TER TONS/FT <sup>2</sup>
NORTHING	301	033		EASTIN	IG	STATION						ROCK QUALITY DE RQD%	SIGNATION &	& RECOVERY
			Ê		DESCRIPTION OF I	MATERIAL		ENGLISH	UNITS	0 0				
Æ	N	ТҮРЕ	DIST. (	RY (IN	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG	LOSS	OF CIRCULATIC	N 2002	EVELS	5.0	$\times$		<u>\</u>
ОЕРТН (Р	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	RECOVE	SURFACE ELEVAT	ION				VATER L ELE VATIO	BLOWS/6	⊗ STANDA BI	RD PENETRA LOWS/FT	ATION
0	S-1	ST	24	24	(CH) FAT CL/	AY, dark brown,	stiff		$\square$	<u> </u>		17	2.75	
	-				(CH) SANDY	FAT CLAY, brow	wn with	dark	$\square$			25	.6	
	S-2	ST	24	24	brown, very st	liff								- <u></u> - 4.5+
5	S-3	SS	18	18	(CL) LEAN CL gray, very stiff	AY WITH SANE to very hard	D, brow	n with			10 7 15	● 22-⊗ 14 6		
	S-4	22	18	18							13 22			62 8
											40			Ĭ
	S-5	SS	18	18							17 19	12- 🕂 — — —		5 66-⊗
10											47	11.5	4	0
_														
	S-6	SS	2	2	MARL light b	rown verv hard					50/2			50/2-🛇
15 —						iown, very nard								
	<u>S-7</u>	SS	3	3							50/3			50/3-⊗
20					END OF BOR	ING @ 20'			*****				· · ·	
25														
30														
5	THE	E STR/	ATIFI	CATION	I LINES REPRESEN	T THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNI	DARY LINES BE	TWEEN	SOIL TYPE	ES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION N	MAY BE GRAD	UAL.
₩ WL N	one			ws 🗌	WD	BORING STARTE	D	07/01/20			CAVE	E IN DEPTH		
₩ WL(SH	IW)		Ţ	WL(AC	:R)	BORING COMPLE	ETED	07/01/20			HAM	MER TYPE Auto		
≝ WL	RIG CME 55 FOREMAN Austin Ge									Geo	DRILI	LING METHOD Air Rot	ary, ST, SS	5

CLIENT							Job #:		NG #			SHEET				
MG C		<u>nal a</u>	at U	ptow	n, LLC		17:54 ARCHITECT-	418 ENGINEER		B-4		1	<u>OF 1</u>		Ε	20
Uptow SITE LOC	<u>VN P</u>	um	Cre	ek P	ark & Waste	water Line						0.00				
Kohle	rs C	ross	ina	. Kyle	e, TX											TER TONS/FT
NORTHIN	G			EASTIN	IG	STATION						ROCK	QUALITY QD% —	/ DESIGI — –	NATION 8 REC%	& RECOVERY
			Î.	î	DESCRIPTION OF M	MATERIAL		ENGLISH	UNITS	S F.		PLASTI LIMIT%	IC 6	WAT CONTE	ER ENT%	LIQUID LIMIT%
(FT)	NO.	ТУРЕ	E DIST	ERY (II	BOTTOM OF CASIN	G	LOSS OF CI	RCULATIO	N 2100%	LEVEI ION (F	.9/	$\times$		•		∆
DEPTH	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	RECOVI	SURFACE ELEVATI	ON				WATER ELEVAT	BLOWS	(	STAP	NDARD F BLOW	PENETRA S/FT	ATION
°	S-1	ST	24	24	(CH) FAT CLA	Y, dark brown,	stiff		$\square$					-Q-		
					(CL) SANDY I	EAN CLAY. bro	wn with ara	av.					÷	2.5		4.5+
_	S-2	ST	24	24	very stiff			<b>,</b>			40		19 米 2	●— — — 1.8		
5	S-3	SS	10	10	MARL, light b	rown with gray, v	very hard				40 50/4		:	:		50/4-⊗
	S-4	SS	11	11							48		:			50/5-⊗
_											50/5					
	S-5	SS	18	18	(CL) SANDY I gray, very har	_EAN CLAY, ligh	nt brown wit	th dark			39 30					59-🛇
10					0 7 7						25					
_																
																50/2-00
	\ <u>S-6</u>	SS	2	2	LIMESTONE,	tan, very hard					50/2					50/2-00
15 <u> </u>					END OF BOR	ING @ 15'							:	:		
													÷		:	
20																
25 —													÷		:	
													÷			
_																
30 —																
	1	I		1	I				I I		ı l			<u> </u>		
	TH	E STR/	ATIFI	CATION	I LINES REPRESEN	THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY	LINES BET	WEEN	SOIL TYP	ES. IN-	SITU THE T	FRANSITI	ON MAY	BE GRAD	UAL.
₩ WL <b>N</b>	None			ws	WD	BORING STARTE	D 07/0	01/20			CAVE	IN DEPTH				
₩_ WL(S	HW)		Ţ	WL(AC	R)	BORING COMPLE	TED 07/0	01/20			HAM	MER TYPE	Auto			
₩ Ţ WL						RIG CME 55	FOF	REMAN AU	ustin G	Geo	DRILI	ING METH	iod Air	Rotary,	ST, SS	;

CLIENT							Job #:	BORI	NG #		SHEET			
MG Ca	ardir	nal a	at U	ptow	n. LLC		17:541	8	B-5		1 OF 1		- 5	
PROJECT	NAME				.,		ARCHITECT-EN	GINEER						US
Uptow SITE LOCA	n PI	um	Cre	ek P	ark & Waste	water Line								
Kohler	s Ci	oss	ina	. Kvle	e. TX							IEDPEI		TER TONS/FT
NORTHING	3			EASTIN	IG	STATION					ROCK QUALIT RQD% –	TY DESI	GNATION REC%	& RECOVERY
			<u>Î</u>	(1	DESCRIPTION OF I	MATERIAL	El	NGLISH UNITS	N F		PLASTIC		TER	LIQUID
Ē	Ň	ТҮРЕ	DIST.	ERY (IN	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 📕	LOSS OF CIRC		-EVEL		×	(	•	Δ
ОЕРТН ((	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	RECOVE	SURFACE ELEVAT	ON			NATER I	3LOWS/	⊗ sta	ANDARD BLO	) PENETR/ WS/FT	ATION
0		от			(CH) FAT CLA	AY, dark brown,	very stiff					23.5	:	Å
	S-1	SI	24	24							16 🛧		3.25	7.55
	S-2	ST	24	24	(CL) LEAN CL stiff	.AY, light brown	with gray, ver	y						 4.5+
5	S-3	SS	18	18	(CL) GRAVEL light brown wi	LY LEAN CLAY th gray, hard	WITH SAND,			18 12 24	<b>•</b>		36-⊗	
	S-4	SS	11	11	MARL, light b	rown with gray, v	very hard			15 50/5	12.0		:	
														50/5
	S-5	ST	1	1						50/1				50/1
10					END OF BOR	ING @ 10'								
												:		
15												:	:	
											• • •	:	:	
													-	
20 —													:	
											• • •	:	:	
25 —												:		
												:		
_														
30 —														
					l			I	I	1				
	THE	E STR/	ATIFI		LINES REPRESEN	T THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY LIN	IES BETWEEN	SOIL TYP	PES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSI		Y BE GRAD	DUAL.
₩ wL N	one			ws	WD	BORING STARTE	D 07/01/	20		CAVE	IN DEPTH			
₩_ WL(SH	IW)		Ţ	WL(AC	R)	BORING COMPLE	TED 07/01/	20		HAM	MER TYPE Auto			
₩ Į						RIG CME 55	FOREM	AN Austin (	Geo	DRIL	LING METHOD AI	r Rotar	y, ST, SS	6

CLIENT	Job #:	BORING #	SHEET						
MG Cardinal at Uptown, LLC	17:5418	B-6	1 OF 1	FCo					
PROJECT NAME	ARCHITECT-ENGINEEI	2							
Uptown Plum Creek Park & Wastew SITE LOCATION	vater Line			PENETROMETER TONS/FT <sup>2</sup>					
Kohlers Crossing, Kyle, TX									
NORTHING EASTING S	STATION		RQD%	REC%					
	ATERIAL ENGLISH	I UNITS ッテ	PLASTIC V LIMIT% CO	NATER LIQUID INTENT% LIMIT%					
	LOSS OF CIRCULATIO		ي پ	<b>●</b> ∆					
H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	N	WATER ELEVAT		RD PENETRATION .OWS/FT					
0 (CH) FAT CLA' 	Y, dark brown, very stiff		24.1 18 <del>米</del> −●	└ ─					
	AY, light brown, very stiff			3.0					
	own, verv hard	2	17.0	4.5+ 50/3-⊗					
		50	0/3						
			35 32 41	73-⊗					
S-5 SS 6 6		50	D/6	50/6-×					
10									
S-6 SS 1 1 LIMESTONE, t	an, very hard	50	D/1	50/1-⊗					
15 END OF BORII	NG @ 15'								
30 -									
	THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES BE	TWEEN SOIL TYPES.	IN-SITU THE TRANSITION M	IAY BE GRADUAL.					
	BORING COMPLETED 07/01/20	С							
₩L	RIG CME 55 FOREMAN A	ustin Geo Di	RILLING METHOD Air Rota	ary, ST, SS					

CLIENT	Job #:	BORING	#		SHEET	
MG Cardinal at Uptown, LLC	17:5418 ARCHITECT-ENGINE	R	<u>B-7</u>		1 OF 1	ECS
Uptown Plum Creek Park & Wastewater I	_ine					
Kohlers Crossing, Kyle, TX						
NORTHING EASTING STATION					ROCK QUALITY DES RQD%	SIGNATION & RECOVERY REC% ———
	ENGLIS		(FT)		PLASTIC V LIMIT% CO	VATER LIQUID NTENT% LIMIT%
	LOSS OF CIRCULAT		ATION	.s/6	~	
L L L L L SURFACE ELEVATION	brown voru otiff	WATE		BLOW	STANDAF BL	RD PENETRATION OWS/FT
S-1 ST 24 24	brown, very sun					-Q- 3.5
S-2 ST 18 18 (CL) LEAN CLAY WIT	H SAND, brown, very stiff				● 17.3	-O- 4.5
				10 12 8	20-⊗	
END OF BORING @ :	5'					
	ROXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES B	ETWEEN SOI		S. IN-		AY BE GRADUAL.
₩ WL(SHW) ¥ WL(ACR) BORING	G COMPLETED 07/01/20			HAM	MER TYPE Auto	
₩ wL RIG T	ruck FOREMAN	Austin Geo	0	DRILI	LING METHOD Air Rota	ary, ST, SS

CLIENT							Job #:		BORIN	IG #		SHEET			٦
MG C		nal a	at U	ptow	n, LLC		17:54 ARCHITECT-I	418 ENGINEER		B-8		1 OF 1	<u> </u>	ECs	
	<u>IN P</u>	lum	Cre	ek P	ark & Waste	water Line									-2
Kohle	rs C	ross	ina	. Kvle	e. TX								TED PENET	ROMETER TONS/FT	
NORTHIN	G			EASTIN	IG	STATION						ROCK QUALII RQD% –	TY DESIGNA — – R	TION & RECOVERY EC% ———	
		Щ	⊤. (IN)	(IN)	DESCRIPTION OF I	MATERIAL		ENGLISH U	JNITS	ELS (FT)		PLASTIC LIMIT%		R LIQUID F% LIMIT%	<b>)</b>
I (FT)	Р И П	ΞТΥ	E DIS	/ERY	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 📕	LOSS OF CI	RCULATION	J <u>&gt;100%</u> >	RLEVI	s/6"	X		Z	
O DEPTH	SAMPI	SAMPI	SAMPI	RECOV	SURFACE ELEVAT	ON				WATER	BLOW	⊗ sta	ANDARD PEI BLOWS/	NETRATION FT	_
	S-1	ST	24	24	(CH) FAT CL/	AY, dark drown,	medium stir	T					21.5 • <b>●</b>		
	S-2	ST	24	24	(CH/CL) FAT with gray, very	CLAY TO LEAN / stiff	CLAY, brow	wn					-O- 3:0		
5-	S-3	ST	24	24								10 * -	<u> </u>		
	∖ <u>S-4</u>	SS	2	2	MARL, light b	rown, very hard					50/2	10.3		4.5+	′2
	∖S-5	SS	2	2							50/2			÷	
10														50/2	_
_															
15															
_															
20															
													÷		
_															
25 -															
-															
_															
30															
	TH	E STR	ATIFI				E BOUNDARY	LINES BET	WEEN	SOIL TYP	PES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSI	TION MAY BE	GRADUAL.	
÷ WL N ₩ WL(S	None		Ţ	WS []	WD 🖂	BORING STARTE	D 07/0	01/20			CAVE	E IN DEPTH			-
≝ wL			-			RIG Truck	FOF	REMAN AU	stin G	ieo	DRIL		r Rotary, S	T, SS	_

CLIENT							Job #:	BO	ORING	G #		s	HEET		
MGC	ardii	nal a	at U	ptow	n. LLC		17:54	18		B-9		1	OF 1		
PROJECT	NAME				,		ARCHITECT-EI	NGINEER					••••		US
Uptow SITE LOC	<u>/n P</u>	lum	Cre	ek P	ark & Waste	water Line						$\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$			
Kohle	rs C	ross	ina	. Kvle	e. TX							-()- CA	LIBRATED P	ENETROME	TER TONS/FT
NORTHIN	G			EASTIN	lG	STATION						ROCK ( RQ	QUALITY DE: D%	SIGNATION REC%	& RECOVERY
			<u> </u>	1)	DESCRIPTION OF I	MATERIAL	E	ENGLISH UNI	TS	S F		PLASTI LIMIT%		VATER NTENT%	LIQUID LIMIT%
(FT)	NO	ΞТΥРЕ	E DIST.	ERY (IN	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG	LOSS OF CIR		00%>	LEVEL 10N (F	/6"	×		•	
DEPTH	SAMPL	SAMPL	SAMPL	RECOV	SURFACE ELEVAT	ION				WATER ELEVA <sup>-</sup>	BLOWS	¢	STANDAF	RD PENETR/ .OWS/FT	ATION
	S-1	ST	24	24	(CH/CL) FAT brown to light	CLAY TO LEAN brown, very stiff	CLAY, dark								
_	S-2	ST	24	24									•	-0-	
5	S-3	ST	24	24									21.4	3.5	
	S-4	SS	18	18	MARL, light b	rown, very hard					32 47	12.4-			82-⊗
-											35				
10 -	S-5	SS	9	9							43 50/3				⊗ 50/3
					END OF BOR	ING @ 10'									
15															
												•			
20 —															
														•	
_															
_															
25 —															
_												:			:
_													:		
30 —															
	TH	E STR/	ATIFI	CATION	I LINES REPRESEN	T THE APPROXIMATI	E BOUNDARY L	INES BETWE	EN S	OIL TYPE	ES. IN-	SITU THE T	RANSITION M	IAY BE GRAD	UAL.
¥ w∟ N ▼ wu c	None		•	ws 🗆	WD 🖂	BORING STARTER	07/01	/20			CAVE		Auto		
₩ WL(S	⊓vV)		ŧ	vvL(AC	r()		U7/01	/2U			HAM				<u></u>
÷ WL						RIG Truck	FORE	MAN Austi	n Ge	eo	URILI		OD AIr Rota	ary, ST, SS	<b>,</b>

CLIENT							Job #:	BOR	ING #			SHEET	_	
MG C	ardii NAME	nal a	at U	ptow	n, LLC		17:5418 ARCHITECT-ENG	3 INEER	B-	10		1 OF		20
Uptow SITE LOC	<u>/n P</u>	lum	Cre	ek P	ark & Waster	water Line								ETER TONS/FT <sup>2</sup>
Kohle NORTHIN	rs C	ross	ing	, Kyle eastin	e, TX	STATION						ROCK QUALI RQD% -	TY DESIGNATION	& RECOVERY
			Î		DESCRIPTION OF M	MATERIAL	ENC	GLISH UNITS		_		PLASTIC	WATER	LIQUID
(FT)	E NO.	е туре	e dist. (	ERY (IN)	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 📕	LOSS OF CIRCU		LEVELS	TION (FT	.9/9	LIMIT% X	CONTENT%	
рертн	SAMPL	SAMPL	SAMPL	RECOV	SURFACE ELEVATI	ON			WATER	ELE VA	BLOWS	⊗ st	ANDARD PENETF BLOWS/FT	RATION
· _	S-1	ST	24	24	(CH) FAT CLA	AY, dark brown, v	very stiff						-\- 3.0	
	S-2	ST	24	24	(SC) CLAYEY with gray, very	SAND WITH G dense	RAVEL, brown					<b>•</b>		- <u>()</u> - 45
5 —	S-3	SS	10	10							6 50/4	12.0		50/4-8
	S-4	SS	13	13	MARL, light b	rown, very hard					14 41 50/1	12.4-●		91/7-⊗
	√S-5	SS	3	3							50/3			50/3-⊗
10														
-	∖S-6	SS	2	2							50/2			50/2-⊗
15					END OF BOR	ING @ 15'								
20														
25														
 30														
				1	I			I	I	1	L		<u> </u>	
	TH	E STR	ATIFI	CATION	I LINES REPRESEN	THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY LINE	S BETWEEN	I SOIL T	TYPE	S. IN-	SITU THE TRANSI	TION MAY BE GRA	DUAL.
₩	None		•	ws	WD 🛛	BORING STARTE	D 07/01/2	0			CAVE			
j ≝ WL(SI I 型 wi	HVV)		÷	vvL(AC	к)		EOREM4	N Austin	Geo	+			ir Rotary ST S	is.
- VVL								Ausuit	0.00					



## **REFERENCE NOTES FOR BORING LOGS**

MATERIAL <sup>1</sup>	,2			C	RILLING	SAMPLING	SYMB	OLS &		ATIONS	
	ASPH	ALT	SS	Split Spoo	n Sample	r	PM	Press	uremeter T	est	
1913 - 1918 1919 - 1919			ST	Shelby Tu	be Sample	er	RD	Rock	Bit Drilling		
	CONC	RETE	WS	Wash San	nple		RC	Rock	Core, NX, E	BX, AX	
00 0			BS	Bulk Samp	ble of Cutt	ings	REC	Rock	Sample Re	covery %	
2000 Q	GRAV	EL		Power Au	ger (no sa m Augor	mpie)	RQD	ROCK	Quality Des	signation %	
N.	TODO		пбА		en Auger						
SXII)	10950	JIL	-		F	PARTICLES	SIZE IDI	INTIF	ICATION		
	VOID		DESIGNA	TION	PARTI	CLE SIZES					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Boulders	\$	12 inc	ches (300 mi	m) or la	ger			
	BRICK		Cobbles		3 inch	nes to 12 inc	ches (75	mm t	o 300 mm)		
80 00	AGGR	EGATE BASE COURSE	Gravel:	Coarse	3⁄4 inc	h to 3 inches	s (19 mr	n to 7	5 mm)		
00000	Addin			Fine	4.75 r	mm to 19 mn	n (No. 4	sieve	to ¾ inch)		
P. 3. 2	FILL <sup>3</sup>	MAN-PLACED SOILS	Sand:	Coarse	2.00 r	mm to 4.75 n	nm (No.	10 to	No. 4 sieve	e)	
	GW			Medium	0.425	mm to 2.00	mm (No	5. 40 t	o No. 10 sie 0 to No. 40	eve)	
	GW	gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines		Fine	0.074	- mm to 0.42	5 mm (l Ior thor	NO. 20	0 to No. 40	sieve)	
	GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVEL	SIIL & CI	ay ( Fines )	<0.07	4 mm (smai	ier triari	a no.	200 sieve)		
		gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines		COHESIVE						004005	EINE
	GM	SILTY GRAVEL	Lhuos	CORESIVE		CLATS		В	ELATIVE	GRAINED	GRAINE
17 16 <i>1</i> 91 16 <sup>79</sup> 16 2 16	60			NFINED	SPT <sup>5</sup>	CONSISTE		A	MOUNT <sup>7</sup>	(%) <sup>8</sup>	(%) <sup>8</sup>
1044 -	ac	gravel-sand-clay mixtures	STREN	GTH. Q <sup>4</sup>	(BPF)	(COHESI)	VE)	-			-
	SW	WELL-GRADED SAND	<(	).25	<3	Very So	oft	Ira		<u>&lt;</u> 5	<u>&lt;</u> 5
		gravelly sand, little or no fines	0.25	- <0.50	3 - 4	Soft		ex (ex	: SW-SM)	10	10
8 8	SP	POORLY-GRADED SAND	0.50 ·	- <1.00	5 - 8	Firm		Wi	th	15 - 20	15 - 25
	см		1.00 ·	- <2.00	9 - 15	Stiff		Ad	jective	<u>&gt;</u> 25	<u>&gt;</u> 30
	3141	sand-silt mixtures	2.00 -	- <4.00	16 - 30	Very St	tiff	(ex	: "Silty")		
and and and and and	SC	CLAYEY SAND	4.00	- 8.00	31 - 50	Hard					
		sand-clay mixtures	>8	3.00	>50	Very Ha	ard		W	ATER LEVELS	6
	ML	SILT						Ā	WL	Water Level (	WS)(WD)
			GRAVE	LS, SANDS	& NON-C	OHESIVE S				(WS) While	Sampling
		high plasticity	S	SPT		DENSITY		रागः	<b></b>	(WD) While	Drilling
////	CL	LEAN CLAY		<5		Very Loose		Ť	SHW	Seasonal Hig	hWT
		low to medium plasticity	5	ό - 10		Loose			ACR	Atter Casing	Removal
	СН	FAT CLAY	1	1 - 30	М	edium Dens	е	<u> </u>		Dry Cayo In	aler radie
		high plasticity	3	1 - 50		Dense			WCI	Wet Cave-In	
$p_{p_{i}}$	OL	ORGANIC SILT or CLAY non-plastic to low plasticity		)C<		very Dense			44.01		
27 5. 5 1000 (1000, 1000) 1000 (1000 1000 1000 (1000 1000 1000 (1000 1000 1000 (1000 1000 1000 (1000 100)	ОН	ORGANIC SILT or CLAY high plasticity									
	РТ	PEAT highly organic soils									

<sup>1</sup>Classifications and symbols per ASTM D 2488-09 (Visual-Manual Procedure) unless noted otherwise.

<sup>3</sup>Non-ASTM designations are included in soil descriptions and symbols along with ASTM symbol [Ex: (SM-FILL)].

<sup>4</sup>Typically estimated via pocket penetrometer or Torvane shear test and expressed in tons per square foot (tsf).

<sup>6</sup>The water levels are those levels actually measured in the borehole at the times indicated by the symbol. The measurements are relatively reliable when augering, without adding fluids, in granular soils. In clay and cohesive silts, the determination of water levels may require several days for the water level to stabilize. In such cases, additional methods of measurement are generally employed.

<sup>7</sup>Minor deviation from ASTM D 2488-09 Note 16.

<sup>8</sup>Percentages are estimated to the nearest 5% per ASTM D 2488-09.

Reference Notes for Boring Logs (03-22-2017)

GRAINED (%)<sup>8</sup>

15 - 25 <u>></u>30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To be consistent with general practice, "POORLY GRADED" has been removed from GP, GP-GM, GP-GC, SP, SP-SM, SP-SC soil types on the boring logs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Standard Penetration Test (SPT) refers to the number of hammer blows (blow count) of a 140 lb. hammer falling 30 inches on a 2 inch OD split spoon sampler required to drive the sampler 12 inches (ASTM D 1586). "N-value" is another term for "blow count" and is expressed in blows per foot (bpf).

## **APPENDIX C – Laboratory Testing**

Laboratory Testing Summary Grain Size Distributions

				La	borat	tory Te	sting	j Sun	nmar	у				Page 1 of 2
		011	<b>F</b>	0			Atter	berg Li	mits3	Percent	Moisture - De	ensity (Corr.) <sup>5</sup>		
Sample Source	Sample Number	Start Depth (feet)	End Depth (feet)	Sample Distance (feet)	MC1 (%)	Soil Type <sup>2</sup>	LL	PL	PI	Passing No. 200 Sieve <sup>4</sup>	Maximum Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture (%)	CBR Value <sup>6</sup>	Other
B-1	S-2	2.0	3.5	1.5	13.0		34	16	18					
B-2														
	S-1 S-3	0.0 4.0	2.0 5.5	2.0 1.5	25.5 13.3		48	18	30	67.0				
B-3														
	S-1 S-3	0.0 4.0	2.0 5.5	2.0 1.5	25.6 14.6		59	17	42	84.6				
	S-5	8.5	10.0	1.5	11.5		40	12	28					
B-4	S-2	2.0	4.0	2.0	21.8		44	19	25					
B-5														
	S-1 S-3	0.0 4.0	2.0 5.5	2.0 1.5	23.5 12.3		55	16	39	64.6				
B-6		110	0.0		12.0					0110				
<b>D</b> -0	S-1	0.0	2.0	2.0	24.1		52	18	34					
	S-2	2.0	4.0	2.0	17.0					90.7				
B-7														
	S-2	2.0	3.5	1.5	17.3					82.7				
B-8														
-	S-1	0.0	2.0	2.0	21.5		50	15	35					
	S-3	4.0	6.0	2.0	16.3		47	10	37					
B-9														
	S-2	2.0	4.0	2.0	21.4					91.2				
	S-4	6.0	7.5	1.5	12.4					88.2				
B-10														
Notes: Definitions:	1. ASTM D 2216, 2 MC: Moisture Cont	2. ASTM D 2487 ent, Soil Type:	7, 3. ASTM D 43	318, 4. ASTM D 1 Soil Classificatior	, 140, 5. Se n System),	ee test reports	for test me hit, PL: Pla	, ethod, 6. S stic Limit,	, ee test re PI: Plastic	ports for test m	nethod : California Bearing	, g Ratio, OC: Orga	anic Content (AS	STM D 2974)
Project No.	17:5418											ECO		ESTILD
Project Name:	Uptown Pl	Uptown Plum Creek Park & Wastewater Line 2120 Denton Drive, Suite 105-104												
PM:	Trevor Wa	Trevor Walker												
PE:	Michael So	Michael Sorgenfrei Phone: (512) 837-8005												
Printed On:	Tuesday,	July 14, 2020										1-	·	

				La	borat	tory Te	sting	Sum	nmar	у				Page 2 of 2
		<b>C</b> tart	<b>F</b> a d	0 amarta			Atter	berg Li	mits3	Percent	Moisture - De	nsity (Corr.)5		· • 30 - 01 =
Sample Source	Sample Number	Start Depth (feet)	End Depth (feet)	Sample Distance (feet)	MC1 (%)	Soil Type <sup>2</sup>	LL	PL	PI	Passing No. 200 Sieve <sup>4</sup>	Maximum Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture (%)	CBR Value <sup>6</sup>	Other
	S-2	2.0	4.0	2.0	12.0					32.0				
	S-4	6.0	7.1	1.1	12.4					61.1				
Notes: Definitions:	. ASTM D 2216, 2 MC: Moisture Con	2. ASTM D 2487 tent, Soil Type: I	7, 3. ASTM D 43 USCS (Unified S	18, 4. ASTM D 1 Soil Classificatior	140, 5. Se System),	ee test reports LL: Liquid Lin	for test me hit, PL: Pla	ethod, 6. S	ee test re PI: Plastic	ports for test m sity Index, CBR:	ethod : California Bearing	g Ratio, OC: Orga	nic Content (A	ASTM D 2974)
Project No.	17:5418													
Project Name:	Uptown P	um Creek Pa	rk & Wastew	ater Line								ECS	SOUTHW	VEST, LLP
PM:	Trevor Wa	lker										Austin,	TX 78758	une 105-104
PE:	Michael S	orgenfrei										Phone:	(512) 837-800	5
Printed On:	Tuesday,	_ July 14, 2020										Fax. (51	21031-0221	

				Gi	rain Size	Distributions
<b>Boring</b>	<u>Depth</u>	<u>&lt;#4</u>	<u>% Fines</u>	<u>% Gravel</u>	<u>% Sand</u>	USCS Soil Type
B-2	4-5.5	90	67.0	10.0	23.0	(CL) SANDY LEAN CLAY
B-3	4-5.5	97.8	84.6	2.2	13.2	(CL) LEAN CLAY WITH SAND
B-5	4-6	80.5	64.6	19.5	15.9	(CL) GRAVELLY LEAN CLAY WITH SAND
B-6	2-4	100	90.7	0.0	9.3	(CL) LEAN CLAY
B-7	2-4	100	82.7	0.0	17.3	(CL) LEAN CLAY WITH SAND
B-9	2-4	99.8	91.2	0.2	8.6	(CH/CL) FAT TO LEAN CLAY
B-9	6-7.5	100	88.2	0.0	11.8	(CL) LEAN CLAY
B-10	2-4	84.3	32.0	15.7	52.3	(SC) CLAYEY SAND WITH GRAVEL
B-10	6-7.5	89.8	61.1	10.2	28.7	(CL) SANDY LEAN CLAY

ECS	ECS Southwest, LLP 14050 Summit Drive, Suite 101 Austin, Texas 78728	Uptown Plum Creek Park & Wastewater Line Kohlers Crossing Kyle, Texas
10		Project Number: 17:5418   Date: July 2020