

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# Kyle, Texas; General Obligation

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Andy A Hobbs, Farmers Branch + 1 (972) 367 3345; Andy.Hobbs@spglobal.com

### Secondary Contact:

Bobbi Gajwani, Chicago (1) 312-233-7001; bobbi.gajwani@spglobal.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

## Summary:

# Kyle, Texas; General Obligation

### Credit Profile

US\$4.195 mil GO rfdg bnnds ser 2020 due 08/15/2030

*Long Term Rating*

AA-/Stable

New

## Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA-' long-term rating to Kyle, Texas' anticipated \$4.19 million general obligation (GO) refunding bonds, series 2020. The outlook is stable.

The bonds are payable from revenue from a direct and continuing ad valorem tax levied, within the limits prescribed by law, on all taxable property within the city. Texas statutes limit the ad valorem tax rate for home rule cities to \$2.50 per \$100 of taxable assessed valuation (AV) for all city purposes. Administratively, the state attorney general will permit the allocation of \$1.50 of the tax rate for ad valorem tax debt service. In fiscal 2020, the city levies well under the maximum at 54.2 cents per \$100 of AV. We rate the bonds and certificates on par with our view of the city's general creditworthiness, as the tax base supporting the obligations is coterminous with the city, and we see no unusual risks regarding the fungibility of resources or the city's willingness to support the debt.

Proceeds from the sale of bonds will refund certain maturities for a net present-value savings.

### Credit overview

The city's metrics remain stable overall. The city has benefited from its access to the diverse and expanding Austin-Round Rock MSA metropolitan statistical area (MSA). Due to the combination of a growing tax base and prudent budget management, the city has grown and maintained reserves at very strong levels, which we think positions the city well to navigate the COVID-19-prompted recession. Long-term effects of the pandemic will depend on the severity of the recession. (For more information, see "U.S. Economic Update: A Recovery At Risk As COVID-19 Surges," published July 22, 2020, on RatingsDirect.) Future credit reviews will focus on what influence the current situation has on the county as well as its ability to respond in a timely and appropriate fashion to ensure maintenance of stable key credit metrics.

The rating also reflects our view of the city's:

- Adequate economy, with projected per capita effective buying income at 84.0% and market value per capita of \$75,984, though that is advantageously gaining from access to a broad and diverse MSA;
- Strong management, with good financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology;
- Adequate budgetary performance, with an operating deficit in the general fund but an operating surplus at the total governmental fund level in fiscal 2019;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2019 of 73% of operating expenditures;

- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 1.7x total governmental fund expenditures and 6.7x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Very weak debt and contingent liability profile, with debt service carrying charges at 25.7% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 180.4% of total governmental fund revenue, as well as high overall net debt at greater than 10% of market value; and
- Strong institutional framework score.

### **Environmental, social, and governance factors**

Our analysis of these risks encompasses our review of current environmental, social, and governance risks. We acknowledge the ongoing pandemic and potential negative impacts it might have on various aspects of the city's creditworthiness. Absent COVID-19, we believe the social risks to be in line with the sector standard. We have also analyzed the environmental and governance risks and have determined that they are in line with our view of the sector standard.

## **Stable Outlook**

### **Downside scenario**

We could lower the rating if Kyle were to experience substantial economic deterioration, or if structural imbalance were to develop, resulting in available fund balance falling below the policy requirement.

### **Upside scenario**

Although unlikely in the current recessionary environment, we could raise the rating if the city's economic profile, including growth in per capita market value, and income levels continue to improve to a level more commensurate with those of its higher-rated peers. Additionally, we would like to see the city continue to successfully manage its growth-related capital program without compromising its financial position or further weakening its current debt profile.

## **Credit Opinion**

### **Adequate economy**

We consider Kyle's economy adequate. The city, with an estimated population of 43,189, is located in Hays County in the Austin-Round Rock, Texas, MSA, which we consider broad and diverse. The city has a projected per capita effective buying income of 84.0% of the national level and per capita market value of \$75,984. The city's market value grew by 8.8% over the past year, to \$3.3 billion in 2020. The county unemployment rate was 2.8% in 2019.

Kyle's location, approximately 15 miles south of Austin and eight miles north of San Marcos, and relative affordability compared with the rest of the greater Austin-Round Rock MSA, have resulted in healthy commercial and residential development. In aggregate, AV has grown by just over \$1 billion, or 69%, between 2016 and 2020. Moreover, major roadway improvements along the I-35 corridor that resulted in better traffic flow, coupled with a growing population, continue to support strong growth in the city's commercial and retail sales tax revenues. The city's property tax base is diverse, with the top 10 taxpayers accounting for slightly more than 8% of the total tax base. Management reports that

commercial and residential construction activity has not slowed since the onset of COVID-19 and that March and April monthly permits doubled compared with a year ago. About 16 residential subdivisions are under construction, with 200-300 homes opening in phases within each. Commercial development includes medical offices, a bank building, and an Amazon fulfillment center. Amazon is expected to become a leading taxpayer, possible in the top 10-15. Phase 1 was scheduled to be completed in spring 2021, opening with 150 employees. Phase 2 would possibly be completed in fall 2021. However, depending on the depth and duration of the recession, especially if there are multiple outbreaks, growth and demand for new housing could be stifled and rise in property tax delinquencies could rise and pressure the revenues.

### **Strong management**

We view the city's management as strong, with good financial policies and practices under our FMA methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or regularly monitor all of them.

Key practices include management's:

- Use of three to five years of historical trends and a revenue forecasting model during the budget process;
- Quarterly budget updates of year-to-date performance provided to elected officials;
- Five-year rolling capital improvement plan with identified funding sources;
- Formal investment management policy that mirrors Texas Public Funds Investment Act, with quarterly updates of performance and holdings to city council;
- Formal debt management policy with basic provisions; and
- Formal policy, as outlined in the city charter, of maintaining 25% expenditures in the general fund, which it is currently exceeding.

The city lacks a long-term financial plan.

### **Adequate budgetary performance**

Kyle's budgetary performance is adequate, in our opinion. The city had deficit operating results in the general fund (after transfers) of 18% of expenditures, but a surplus result across all governmental funds (before transfers) of 29.7% in fiscal 2019. Negative general fund results were the product of a use of reserves in excess of the city's reserve policy minimum requirement and surplus revenue transferred to the capital projects and wastewater funds for capital projects. We adjusted for routine transfers into the general fund from the proprietary funds, one-time capital projects, and general fund and total governmental fund expenditures through bond proceeds. Major general fund revenues are composed of property taxes (30%), sales and use taxes (31%), charges for services (15%) and franchise taxes (8%).

Officials report that with the onset of the global pandemic, the city implemented operating cost-control measures throughout the organization which are still in effect. These cost control measures will continue to be in effect into fiscal 2021. All contracts, purchase orders, expense transactions, and job vacancies for non-critical items and activities must be justified to and pre-approved by the city manager. Despite a spike in unemployment and other disruptions in the local economy, sales tax collection through August have exceeded 2019 collections. City officials anticipate general

fund revenues of \$30.6 million outpacing expenditures of \$24.7 million for the fiscal year. The extent to which the city will add to available reserves will be dependent upon any transfers out of the general fund at the end of the year in support of other funds and city programs.

### **Very strong budgetary flexibility**

Kyle's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2019 of 73% of operating expenditures, or \$15.5 million. We expect the available fund balance to remain above 30% of expenditures for the current and next fiscal years, which we view as a positive credit factor.

The city has historically maintained very strong budgetary flexibility, with reserves in excess of 30% of operations. It does not plan to draw on reserves. We believe Kyle will likely maintain very strong budgetary flexibility due to its formal fund balance policy of maintaining available reserves in excess of 25% of operational expenditures, as well as its plans to maintain current budget austerity measures in place.

### **Very strong liquidity**

In our opinion, Kyle's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 1.7x total governmental fund expenditures and 6.7x governmental debt service in 2019. In our view, the city has strong access to external liquidity if necessary.

At fiscal year-end Sept. 30, 2019, the city had \$54.4 million of unrestricted cash and investments. As of that date, investments were primarily in local government investment pools, which comply with state statutes and which we do not consider aggressive. The city has demonstrated strong access to capital markets, with several GO-supported debt issues over the past 20 years. We do not expect a deterioration in the city's very strong liquidity profile.

### **Very weak debt and contingent liability profile**

In our view, Kyle's debt and contingent liability profile is very weak. Total governmental fund debt service is 25.7% of total governmental fund expenditures and net direct debt is 180.4% of total governmental fund revenue. Negatively affecting our view of the city's debt profile is its high overall net debt of 10.5% of market value.

The city's capital plans are large and include the need for increased capacity and consolidation of multiple sites for the police department. The city has called a bond election in November 2020 which will include two propositions. Proposition A will be for \$37.0 million in authorized debt for a new police headquarters facility and proposition B will be for \$10.0 million for new parks in the city. Given the new debt plans and potential for voter approval, we do not anticipate the city debt profile to improve significantly in the near term.

### **Pensions and other postemployment benefits (OPEB)**

- Pension and OPEB costs are not a source of credit pressure, given that they represent just 4.2% of the city's budget.
- Recent volatility in the markets and the amortization and payroll growth assumptions will likely lead to increased costs in the future. However, we believe the city has sufficient budgetary flexibility and liquidity to address these costs.
- The OPEB liability is well-funded, with a minor liability.

The city participates in the following plans as of Dec. 31, 2018:

- Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS): 80.8% funded, with a net pension liability of \$4.76 million, and
- OPEB plan: 87.8% funded, with net liability of about \$195,566.

Kyle's combined required pension and actual OPEB contributions totaled 5.1% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2019. The city made its full annual required pension contribution in 2019.

The city provides pension benefits for all of its full-time employees through the TMRS, a multiple-employer, defined-benefit pension plan. Actuarially determined contributions fell slightly short of our minimum funding progress (MFP) metric. The MFP metric assesses whether the most recent employer and employee contributions cover total service cost, plus unfunded interest cost, plus one-30th of the principal. When MFP is achieved, it indicates that an issuer has a strong funding discipline that aims to ensure timely progress on reducing its plans' liabilities. Actuarial assumptions include a discount rate of 6.75% and a 26-year closed amortization period. The plan's assumed discount rate is not aggressive, in our opinion, although we consider the closed amortization period of 26 years extended, leaving greater potential for costs to grow based on actual performance. Lastly, contributions are likely to increase given the level percent of payroll funding method, as opposed to level-dollar contributions, which would result in consistent payments.

OPEB include a health care benefit and a supplemental death benefit. The supplemental death benefit is a lump-sum payment. The health care benefit assumes an elevated 7.25% discount rate.

### **Strong institutional framework**

The institutional framework score for Texas municipalities is strong.

## **Related Research**

- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.